## New tools and algorithms for directed network analysis

## WH

FET Open NADINE project No 288956 (4 partners) => http://www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/FETNADINE/


1945: Nuclear physics $\rightarrow$ Wigner (1955) $\rightarrow$ Random Matrix Theory
1991: WWW, small world social networks $\rightarrow$ Markov chains (1906) $\rightarrow$ Google matrix
Despite the importance of large-scale search engines on the web, very little academic research has been done on them.
S.Brin and L.Page, Comp. Networks ISDN Systems 30, 107 (1998)

## Partner 2 Univ Twente

## Node: UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE, The Nederlands

## THE RESEARCH WITHIN NADINE

## - Main objective:

To lay mathematical foundations for development and application of new network algorithms (2DRanking, voting systems), and provide fast algorithms for their computation.

- Methodology: probabilistic analysis of directed random graphs.


## THE TEAM

- Node leader: Dr. Nelly Litvak, Stochastic Operations Research Group
- Related projects: 'M athematics for trend detection in social media' (Google award 2012)
- Past projects: NWO grant 'Ranking of nodes in complex stochastic networks', 2005-2010. A pioneering probabilistic approach for explaining the power law behaviour of PageRank.
- Track record: Invited visitor at Columbia University, INRIA, Georgia Tech, University of South Australia. Keynote speaker and PC member at international conferences on applied probability and complex networks. M anaging editor of Internet $M$ athematics.
- PhD student: Pim van der Hoorn (PhD student). Pim holds M Sc degree in mathematics from Utrecht University, and has worked several years in softw are development.

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

## Partner 3 MTA_SZTAKI Budapest

SMTA SZTAKI
Institute for Computer Science and Control Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

## InfoLab "Big Data"

FP7 Projects

- LiWA, LAWA: Web classification, analytics, spam filtering (FP7 ICT 2008-10, FP7 FIRE 2010-13) Internet Memory, Hanzo Archives MPII Saarbrücken, L3S Hannover, HUJI, ...
- JUMAS: Multimedia IR in judicial domain (FP7 ICT 2008-10)
FBK Trento, RTWH Aachen, ...
Search services operated
- vodafone.hu, t-mobile.hu

Technology evaluation

- ImageCLEF Photo Annotation 2007- (2nd best in 2012)
- TREC Web track 2010-
- Web Spam Challenges 2008-, ECML/PKDD Discovery Challenge 2010 organization

Contact:

## András Benczúr

 benczur@sztaki.hu http://datamining.sztaki.hu/

- real networks
- scalability
- spam filtering


## Partner 4 Univ Milano

## The LAW

## (Laboratory for Web Algorithmics)

- @ Università degli Studi di Milano (contact person: Sebastiano Vigna, http://vigna.di.unimi.it/)
- Active since 1998 in scanning the web and providing snapshot to the research community
- Significant expertise in management of large graphs and inverted indices
- Several open source Java projects (fastutil,Webgraph, MG4J, ...)
- Recently measured the degrees of separation between Facebook users: 3.74 (reported by the New York Times!)


## How Google works

## Markov chains (1906) and Directed networks

 Weighted adjacency matrix

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

For a directed network with $N$ nodes the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is defined as $A_{i j}=1$ if there is a link from node $j$ to node $i$ and $A_{i j}=0$ otherwise. The weighted adjacency matrix is

$$
S_{i j}=A_{i j} / \sum_{k} A_{k j}
$$

In addition the elements of columns with only zeros elements are replaced by 1/N.

## How Google works

Google Matrix and Computation of PageRank $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{S P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}=$ stationary vector of $\mathbf{S}$; can be computed by iteration of $\mathbf{S}$.
To remove convergence problems:

- Replace columns of 0 (dangling nodes) by $\frac{1}{N}$ :

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \mathbf{S}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\frac{1}{7} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} \\
\frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{7} \\
\frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} \\
\frac{1}{7} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} \\
\frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} \\
\frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7} \\
\frac{1}{7} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{7}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- To remove degeneracies of $\lambda=1$, replace $\mathbf{S}$ by Google matrix $\mathbf{G}=\alpha \mathbf{S}+(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathbf{E}}{N} ; \quad G P=\lambda P \quad \Rightarrow$ Perron-Frobenius operator
- $\alpha$ models a random surfer with a random jump after approximately 6 clicks (usually $\alpha=0.85$ ); PageRank vector $=>P$ at $\lambda=1\left(\sum_{j} P_{j}=1\right)$.
- CheiRank vector $P^{*}: G^{*}=\alpha \mathbf{S}^{*}+(1-\alpha) \frac{E}{N}, G^{*} P^{*}=P^{*}$
( $\mathbf{S}^{*}$ with inverted link directions)
Fogaras (2003) ... Chepelianskii arXiv:1003.5455 (2010)


## Wikipedia ranking of human knowledge

Wikipedia English articles $N=3282257$ dated Aug 18, 2009


Dependence of probability of PagRank $P$ (red) and CheiRank $P^{*}$ (blue) on corresponding rank indexes $K, K^{*}$; lines show slopes $\beta=1 /(\nu-1)$ with $\beta=0.92 ; 0.57$ respectively for $\nu=2.09 ; 2.76$.
[Zhirov, Zhirov, DS EPJB 77, 523 (2010)]

## Two-dimensional ranking of Wikipedia articles



Density distribution in plane of PageRank and CheiRank indexes ( $\left.\ln K, \ln K^{*}\right): 100$ top personalities from PageRank (green), CheiRank (red) and Hart book (yellow)

## Wikipedia ranking of universities, personalities

## Universities:

PageRank: 1. Harvard, 2. Oxford, 3. Cambridge, 4. Columbia, 5. Yale, 6. MIT, 7. Stanford, 8. Berkeley, 9. Princeton, 10. Cornell.
2DRank: 1. Columbia, 2. U. of Florida, 3. Florida State U., 4. Berkeley, 5.
Northwestern U., 6. Brown, 7. U. Southern CA, 8. Carnegie Mellon, 9. MIT, 10. U. Michigan.
CheiRank: 1. Columbia, 2. U. of Florida, 3. Florida State U., 4. Brooklyn College, 5. Amherst College, 6. U. of Western Ontario, 7. U. Sheffield, 8. Berkeley, 9.
Northwestern U., 10. Northeastern U.
Personalities:
PageRank: 1. Napoleon I of France, 2. George W. Bush, 3. Elizabeth II of the United Kingdom, 4. William Shakespeare, 5. Carl Linnaeus, 6. Adolf Hitler, 7. Aristotle, 8. Bill Clinton, 9. Franklin D. Roosevelt, 10. Ronald Reagan.
2DRank: 1. Michael Jackson, 2. Frank Lloyd Wright, 3. David Bowie, 4. Hillary
Rodham Clinton, 5. Charles Darwin, 6. Stephen King, 7. Richard Nixon, 8. Isaac Asimov, 9. Frank Sinatra, 10. Elvis Presley.
CheiRank: 1. Kasey S. Pipes, 2. Roger Calmel, 3. Yury G. Chernavsky, 4. Josh Billings (pitcher), 5. George Lyell, 6. Landon Donovan, 7. Marilyn C. Solvay, 8. Matt Kelley, 9. Johann Georg Hagen, 10. Chikage Oogi.

## Toward two-dimensional search engines



local CheiRank vs PageRank of countries, physicists via Wikipedia
Ermann, Chepelianskii, DS J. Phys. A Math. Theor. 45, 275101 (2012)

## Correlator of PageRank and CheiRank



$$
\kappa=N \sum_{i} P(K(i)) P^{*}\left(K^{*}(i)\right)-1
$$

## Spectrum of UK University networks



Arnoldi method: Spectrum of Google matrix for Univ. of Cambridge (left) and Oxford (right) in 2006; $20 \%$ at $\lambda=1(N \approx 200000, \alpha=1)$. [Frahm, Georgeot, DS arxiv:1105.1062 (2011)]

## Google matrix of Twitter

entier Twitter network 2009 => 41 million users

K.Frahm, DS arXiv:1207.3414[cs.SI] (2012)

## Ranking of World Trade

UN COMTRADE database 2008: All commodities


Ermann, DS arxiv:1103.5027 (2011)

## Google Matrix Applications

practically to everything ....

more data at
http://www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/QWLIB/2drankwikipedia/ .../tradecheirank/

