Quantum chaos applications: from simple models to quantum computers and Google matrix



Dima Shepelyansky (CNRS, Toulouse) www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/dima



- L1: Simple models of classical and quantum chaos
- L2: Anderson localization in presence of nonlinearity and interactions
- L3: Quantum chaos in many-body systems and quantum computers
- L4: Google matrix and directed networks

Anderson localization: introduction & perspectives

1958 => from the talk of P.W.Anderson at Newton Institute, July 21, 2008 see http://www.newton.ac.uk/programmes/MPA/seminars/072117001.html



Perspectives: a)localization in new type of systems; b)effects of interactions

Chirikov standard map for soliton dynamics



$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - g|\psi|^2 + k\cos x \,\delta_T(t)\right)\psi$$
$$\bar{p} = p + K\sin x \,, \ \bar{x} = x + \bar{p}$$

Benvenuto et al. (1991)

Nonlinearity and Anderson localization: estimates

$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} = E_{n}\psi_{n} + \beta |\psi_{n}|^{2}\psi_{n} + V(\psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1}); [-W/2 < E_{n} < W/2] \text{ (DANSE)}$

localization length $\ell \approx 96(V/W)^2$ (1D); ln $\ell \sim (V/W)^2$ (2D) Amplitudes C in the linear eigenbasis are described by the equation

 $i\frac{\partial C_m}{\partial t} = \epsilon_m C_m + \beta \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3} U_{mm_1 m_2 m_3} C_{m_1} C_{m_2}^* C_{m_3}$

the transition matrix elements are $U_{mm_1m_2m_3} = \sum_n Q_{nm}^{-n}Q_{nm_1}Q_{nm_2}^*Q_{nm_3} \sim 1/\ell^{3d/2}$. There are about ℓ^{3d} random terms in the sum with $U \sim \ell^{-3d/2}$ so that we have $idC/dt \sim \beta C^3$. We assume that the probability is distributed over $\Delta n > \ell^d$ states of the lattice basis. Then from the normalization condition we have $C_m \sim 1/(\Delta n)^{1/2}$ and the transition rate to new non-populated states in the basis m is $\Gamma \sim \beta^2 |C|^6 \sim \beta^2/(\Delta n)^3$. Due to localization these transitions take place on a size ℓ and hence the diffusion rate in the distance $\Delta R \sim (\Delta n)^{1/d}$ of d- dimensional m- space is $d(\Delta R)^2/dt \sim \ell^2 \Gamma \sim \beta^2 \ell^2/(\Delta n)^3 \sim \beta^2 \ell^2/(\Delta R)^{3d}$.

At large time scales $\Delta R \sim R$ and we obtain

 $\Delta n \sim R^d \sim (\beta \ell)^{2d/(3d+2)} t^{d/(3d+2)}; \ (\Delta n)^2 \propto t^{\alpha}; \ \alpha = 2/(3d+2)$ Chaos criterion: $S = \delta \omega / \Delta \omega \sim \beta > \beta_c \sim 1$ here $\delta \omega \sim \beta |\psi_n|^2 \sim \beta / \Delta n$ is nonlinear frequency shift and $\Delta \omega \sim 1/\Delta n$ is spacing between exites eigenmodes DS (1993); Pikovsky, DS (2008) (d = 1); García-Mata, DS (2009) ($d \ge 1$) Mulansky, Pikovsky (2009) different nonlinearities

Nonlinearity and Anderson localization (1D)



 $i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_{\mathbf{n}}}{\partial t} = E_{\mathbf{n}}\psi_{\mathbf{n}} + \beta |\psi_{\mathbf{n}}|^2\psi_{\mathbf{n}} + V(\psi_{\mathbf{n+1}} + \psi_{\mathbf{n-1}}); [-W/2 < E_{\mathbf{n}} < W/2]$

Pikovsky, DS (2008)

Kicked nonlinear rotator (1D)



$$\psi_n(t+1) = e^{-iT\hat{n}^2/2 - i\beta|\psi_n|^2} e^{-ik\cos\hat{\theta}} \psi_n(t)$$
, $(k=3, T=2, \beta=0, 1)$

DS (1993); García-Mata, DS (2009)

Kicked nonlinear rotator (1D)



García-Mata, DS (2009)

(Quantware group, CNRS, Toulouse)

3

Nonlinearity and Anderson localization (2D)



$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{\mathbf{n}}}{\partial t} = E_{\mathbf{n}}\psi_{\mathbf{n}} + \beta |\psi_{\mathbf{n}}|^2 \psi_{\mathbf{n}} + V(\psi_{\mathbf{n+1}} + \psi_{\mathbf{n-1}})$$

García-Mata, DS (2009)

Nonlinearity and Anderson localization (2D)



 $W = 10; \beta = 0$ (left), 1(right); $t = 10^4$ (bottom), 10⁶ (middle), projecton on *x*-axis (top); 256 × 256 lattice

[also: kicked nonlinear rotator model (1d)]

García-Mata, DS (2009)

Delocalization on disordered Stark ladder



Static field f along Stark ladder (W = 4): statistical entanglement

Left: $f = 0, 0.25, 0.5, \alpha = 0.30, 0.26, 0.24, \beta = 1; 0$ top to bottom; inset IPR at f = 0.5;Right: probabability distribution at $f = 0.5, t = 10^2, 10^4, 10^6, 10^8, \beta = 0; 1$ (top/bottom) García-Mata, DS (EPJB 2009)

Dynamical thermalization in DANSE (1D)

starting from Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem (1955):

regular lattice, delocalized linear modes \rightarrow disorder localized modes



Gibbs distribution with temperature *T* for localized linear modes, $\rho_m = |C_m|^2$: entropy $S = -\sum_m \rho_m \ln \rho_m$, $\rho_m = Z^{-1} \exp(-\epsilon_m/T)$, $Z = \sum_m \exp(-\epsilon_m/T)$, $E = T^2 \partial \ln Z / \partial T$, $S = E/T + \ln Z$. $\langle \ln Z \rangle \approx \ln N + \ln \sinh(\Delta/T) - \ln(\Delta/T)$, $\Delta \approx 3$

Mulansky, Ahnert, Pikovsky, DS (2009)

Dynamical thermalization in DANSE (1D)



N = 32, W = 4, $\beta = 1$, $t = 10^6$, initial state: linear eigenmode m', averaged over 8 disoder realisations

Gibbs distribution: time, disorder averaged ρ_m in mode m (y - axis) for initial eigenmode m' (x -axis); left: numerics, right: Gibbs theory

```
Mulansky et al. (2009)
```

Dynamical thermalization in DANSE (1D)



Mulansky et al. (2009)

Possible experimental tests & applications

- BEC in disordered potential (Aspect, Inguscio)
- kicked rotator with BEC (Phillips)
- nonlinear wave propagation in disordered media (Segev, Silberberg)
- Iasing in random media (Cao)
- energy propagation in complex molecular chains (proteins, Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem)
- NONLINEAR SPIN-GLASS ? Links to Frenkel-Kontorova model?

 OTHER GROUPS: S.Aubry *et al.* PRL **100**, 084103 (2008)
 A.Dhar *et al.* PRL **100**, 134301 (2008)
 S.Fishman *et al.* J. Stat. Phys. **131**, 843 (2008) ...
 S.Flach *et al.* PRL **102**, 024101 (2009) ...
 T.Kottos and B.Shapiro, PRE **83**, 062103 (2011)
 W.-M.Wang *et al.* arXiv:0805.4632[math.DS] (2008)
 see also the participant list of the NLSE Workshop
 at the Lewiner Institute, Technion, June 2008 (http://physics.technion.ac.il/ nlse/)

Experiments on 2D disordered photonic lattices



Figure 1 | **Transverse localization scheme. a**, A probe beam entering a disordered lattice, which is periodic in the two transverse dimensions (*x* and *y*) but invariant in the propagation direction (*z*). In the experiment described here, we use a triangular (hexagonal) photonic lattice with a periodicity of 11.2 µm and a refractive-index contrast of $\sim 5.3 \times 10^{-4}$. The lattice is induced optically, by transforming the interference pattern among three plane waves into a local change in the refractive index, inside a photorefractive SBN:60 (Sr_{0.6}Ba_{0.4}Nb₂O₆) crystal. The input probe beam is of 514 nm wavelength and 10.5 µm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM), and it is always launched at the same location, while the disorder is varied in each realization of the multiple experiments. **b**, Experimentally observed diffraction pattern after L = 10 mm propagation in the fully periodic hexagonal lattice. **c**, Typical experimentally observed intensity distribution after L = 10 mm propagation in the fully metaforming the integration in the fully periodic hexagonal lattice.



Segev et al. Nature 446, 52 (2007) (right: disorder growing from top to bottom)

Experiments on 1D disordered photonic lattices



FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Schematic view of the sample used in the experiments. The red arrow indicates the input beam. (b)–(d) Images of output light distribution, when the input beam covers a few lattice sites: (b) in a periodic lattice, (c) in a disordered lattice, when the input beam is coupled to a location which exhibits a high degree of expansion, and (d) in the same disordered lattice when the beam is coupled to a location in which localization is clearly observed.

Silberberg et al. PRL 100, 013906 (2008)

BEC Experiments in 1D incommensurate lattice





FIG. 1 (color online). Time evolution of the width σ for different initial interaction energies: $E_{\rm int} = 0$ (squares), $E_{\rm int} = 1.8J$ (circles), and $E_{\rm int} = 2.3J$ (circles). The continuous lines are the fit with Eq. (1). The dashed lines show the fitted asymptotic behavior, while the dash-dotted line shows the expected behavior for normal diffusion. The lattice parameters are J/h = 180 Hz, $\Delta/J = 4.9$.

FIG. 2 (color online). Diffusion exponent α vs the initial interaction energy E_{int} in the experiment (triangles and squares) and simulations (circles). The experimental data are for $\Delta/J = 5.3(4)$ and two different values of the tunneling: J/h = 180 Hz (triangles) and J/h = 300 Hz (squares). The vertical bars are the fitting error of Eq. (1) to the data, while the horizontal bars indicate the statistical error.

³⁹*K* BEC, 5×10^4 atoms, optical lattice $V(x) = V_1 \cos^2(k_1 x) + V_2 \cos^2(k_2 x)$, $\lambda_i = 2\pi/k_i = 1064nm, 859nm$ André-Aubry model (or related Harper model) => hopping *J* in 1st lattice and potential/"disorder" $\Delta \sim V_2$ of 2d lattice, metallic phase at $J/\Delta > 2$ G.Modugno *et al.* PRL **106**, 230403 (2011)

Nonlinearity and localization: open problems

- exponent α ≈ 1/3 < 2/5, indications on its small decrease at very large times
 Flach *et al.*, Mulansky *et al.* (2009-2011)
- different (higher/lower) nonlinearity exponents |ψ|^μ still give anomalous spreading Mulansky, Pikovsky (2009)
- main part of measure is non-chaotic at small local β (zero Lyapunov exponent)
 Pikovsky, Fishman (2011)
- => Arnold diffusion scenario: Arnold diffusion in systems with many degrees of freedom Chirikov (1979); Chirikov, Vecheslavov (1997) spreading over Arnold web of narrow chaotic separatrix layers Mulansky *et al.* (2011)

Fast Arnold diffusion and spreading in nonlinear disorderd lattices

- for the Chirikov standard map the width of separatrix layer drops exponentially with perturbation: $w_s \lambda^3 \exp(-\pi \lambda/2)$, $\lambda \sim 1/\sqrt{K}$ Arnold diffusion along web of chaotic separatrix layers Diffusion rate ln $D \propto \ln w_s^2 \propto -\lambda$ (Chirikov (1979))
- Chirikov-Vecheslavov (1997): $w_s \sim K^{2.5}$, $D \sim K^{3/2} w_s^2 \sim K^{\nu_D}$, $\nu_D \approx 6.6!$ multi-particle standard map: $H = |p|^2/2 - K \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \cos(x_{i+1} - x_i)\delta_1(t)$ many degrees of freedom: $2 \le L = N + 1 \le 15$
- independent computations of weak chaos measure $\mu \propto w_s \propto K^{1.6}$ (10⁻⁴ $\leq K \leq$ 0.01) (Mulansky *et al.* (2011))
- slow anomalous spreading: $H = \sum_{k=1}^{L} [p_k^2/2 + \eta_k q_k^4/4 + \gamma (q_{k+1} - q_k)^6/6]; (0.5 \le \eta_k \le 1.5, \gamma \sim 1)$ Results: $\sigma = \langle (\Delta k)^2 \rangle \sim t^{\alpha}, \alpha \approx 0.55;$ $\alpha = 8/(9 + 2\nu_D); \nu_D = 6.6 = \rangle \alpha = 0.36$ (Mulansky *et al.* (2011))

Fast Arnold diffusion and spreading in nonlinear disorderd lattices



FIG. 1. Summary of numerical data for the model (2.1), Broken solid lines connecting various symbols show computed values of w_x as a function of the adiabaticity parameter $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{K}$ and the resonance dimension L = N indicated by the numbers. Dotted lines represent the theory: (a) small- λ limit, one fitting parameter, Eq. (3.5); (b₂) large- λ limit for L = 2, two fitting parameters, Eq. (4.9); (c) intermediate asymptotics, three fitting parameters, Eq. (5.8).



- Left: Chirikov-Vecheslavov (1997); Right: Mulansky et al. (2011)
- DANSE: H₀ is linear that makes situation more complicated, but most probably there is the same scenario as above

Two Interacting Particles (TIP) effect

Anderson model in *d*-space + onsite Hubbard interaction *U*, $V \sim E_F$ is one-particle hopping; exited states $\psi_m(n) \sim \exp(-|n-m|/\ell)/\ell^{d/2}$; $\ell \gg 1$. Equation in the basis of noninteracting eigenstates $\chi_{m_1m_2}$:

 $i\partial\chi_{m_1m_2}/\partial t = \epsilon_{m_1m_2}\chi_{m_1m_2} + \sum_{m'_1m'_2} U_{m_1m_2m'_1m'_2}\chi_{m'_1m'_2}$

 $U_{m_1m_2m'_1m'_2} = U\sum_n \psi_{m_1}(n)\psi_{m_2}(n)\psi^*_{m'_1}(n)\psi^*_{m'_2}(n) \sim U_s \sim U\sqrt{M}/\ell^{2d} \sim U/\ell^{3d/2}$

Sum runs over $M \sim \ell^d$ coupled states; interaction induced matrix elements U_s , density of coupled states is $\rho_2 \sim \ell^{2d}/V$, TIP transition rate $\Gamma_s \sim U_s^2 \rho_2 \sim U^2/(V\ell^d)$.

Diffusion rate:
$$D_s \sim \ell^2 \Gamma_s \sim U^2/(V\ell^{d-2})$$

 $\Delta n(t^*) \sim \ell^d (D_s t^*)^{d/2} \sim 1/\Delta \omega \sim Vt^*$
 $\Delta n(t^*)/\ell^2 \sim \ell_2/\ell \sim U^2\ell/V^2 > 1 \quad (d = 1)$

Enhancement factor: $\kappa = \Gamma_s \rho_2 \sim \ell^d (U/V)^2 > 1$

TIP localization: $\ell_2/\ell \sim (U/V)^2 \ell$ (1d); $\ln(\ell_2/\ell) \sim (U/V)^2 \ell^2$ (2d); delocalization for $\kappa \sim (U/V)^2 \ell^3 > 1$ (3d)

DS (1994),(1996); Y.Imry (1995) two attractive particles Dorokhov (1990)

(Quantware group, CNRS, Toulouse)

TIP effect: numerical results 1d



Left: TIP 1d U/V = 1(top), 0(bottom); W/V = 1.4Pight: two kicked rotator with Hubbard coupling U = 1.4

Right: two kicked rotator with Hubbard coupling U = 2(top); 0(*bottom*) k = 5.7; K = 5. DS (1994)

TIP effect: numerical results 1d



Left: bag model (DS (1994)); Right: TIP 1d by Frahm (1999) Other confirmations: Pichard *et al.* (1995); von Oppen *et al.* (1996) Continued Confusion: R.Römer, M.Schreiber PRL (1997); S.Flach *et al.* Pis'ma ZhETF (2011)

TIP effect: numerical results 3d,4d



Left: second moment $\sigma_{\pm}(t)$ as a function on number of kicks in 2-frequency modulated kicked rotators, k = 0.9, $\epsilon = 0.75$, 2-frequencies (3d), U = 2 (top) and U = 0 (bottom); Right: probability distributions in $n_{\pm} = (n_1 \pm n_2)/\sqrt{2}$ at $U = 2, 0, \ell_2/\ell \approx 25$. Similar data for 3-frequencies (4d)

TIP effect: numerical results 2d



Fig. 1. Probability distributions f and f_d for TIP in 2d disordered lattice of size L = 40, and interaction of radius R = 12and width $\Delta R = 1$. Left column, one-particle probability f for W = 8V: (a) ground state at U = 0; (b) ground state with binding energy $\Delta E = -1.05V$ at U = -2V; (c) coupled state with $\Delta E = -0.19V$ at U = -2V. Right column: (d) f for coupled state, compare to case (c), at W = 12V and U = -2Vwith $\Delta E \approx -0.19V$; (e) inter-particle distance probability f_d related to case (b); (f) f_d related to case (c).

probability $f(\mathbf{n}_1) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}_2} F(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2)$ and the probability of inter-particle distance $f_d(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}_2} F(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_2)$ with $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{n}_1 - \mathbf{n}_2$. The binding energy of an eigenstate in (2) is $\Delta E = E - 2E_F \approx E$ since $E_F \approx 0$. For the ground state with energy E_d the coupling energy is $\Delta = 2E_F - E_d$. The

TIP in 2d with interation *U* inside a ring of radius R = 12 and width $\Delta R = 1$ (see also middle/left figs of page 1 corresponding to bottom panels here) effective 3d Anderson model (Lages, DS (2000)) Coulomb interactions case has 3d Anderson transition for TIP (DS (2000))

(Quantware group, CNRS, Toulouse)

XXVII Heidelberg GPDays, Oct 5, 2011 25 / 40

TIP near the Fermi level



FIG. 1. Energy dependence of the rescaled Breit-Wigner width Γ/Γ_0 in 2D. Direct diagonalization (DD) data at W/V = 2: U/V = 0.6 with $L = 8(\bigcirc), L = 15(\bigtriangleup), L = 20$ (\Box); U/V = 1.5 and L = 20 (\diamondsuit). Fermi golden rule (FGR) data: W/V = 2 with L = 20 (+), L = 25 (×); W/V = 1with L = 15 (*). The straight line $\Gamma(\epsilon)/\Gamma_0 = C\epsilon/V$ with C = 0.52 shows the Imry estimate. Upper inset: the same on a log-log scale with FGR data at higher disorders [W/V = 6](**A**) and W/V = 10 (**B**) (L = 30)]. Lower inset: ρ_W vs E for $L = 20, W/2 = V = 1, U = 0.6, \epsilon = 0.4$ fitted by ρ_{PW} with $\Gamma = 0.18\Gamma_0$ (solid curve).

Small ϵ energy excitations above the Fermi level: a)box size $L \ll \ell$ $\rho_2 \sim L^{2d} \epsilon / V, \Gamma = C \Gamma_0 \epsilon / V$ $\Gamma_0 = U^2/(VL^d), C = const$ b)box size $L \sim \ell$ $U_s^2 \sim \Delta^2 (U/V)^2 (1 + \epsilon/E_c)^{d/2-2}/q^2$, with $q = E_c/\Delta > 1$ and for $\epsilon > E_c \sim V/L^2 > \Delta \sim V/L^d$ $\kappa = \Gamma \rho_2 \sim (U/V)^2 (\epsilon/\Delta)^{d/2-1}$ for $L \sim \ell$, d = 2 we have κ independent of ϵ for $\epsilon \sim \Delta$. Problems: there is no enhancement at E_{F} , $\kappa \sim 1$ Jacquod, DS PRL (1997)

Here Thouless energy is
$$E_c = \hbar/t_{dif} \sim L^2/\hbar D$$
;
 $\Delta \sim V/L^d$, conductance $g = E_c/\Delta$

Metal-insulator transition in 2d?



Demonstrating scaling with temperature in the temperature interval 0.3 to 1*K*, the linear resistivity is shown as a function of $|\delta_n|/T^b$ for $b = 1/z\nu = 0.83$; electron densities are in the range $7.81 - 10.78 \times 10^{10} cm^{-2}$; $\delta_n = (n_s - n_c)/n_c$.

Kravchenko et al. (1996)

Slow Metal (2D)



FIG. 2 (color online). Resistivity as a function of inverse temperature 1/T at B = 0 T (symbols). At all densities, the strongly insulating T dependence at higher temperatures is followed by a decrease in resistance at low T. Device dimensions are $W \times L = 8 \ \mu m \times 0.5 \ \mu m$, spacer $\delta = 40 \ nm$. Electron densities are indicated by arrows in the inset to (a). Solid lines represent a fit of Eq. (1) to the data. Inset to (a): Resistivity as a function of electron density at $T = 60 \ m K$, 500 mK, 4 K. Inset to (d): ρ as function of 1/T at the same density as (d) but at $B_{\perp} = 1.5 \ T$.

TIP diffusion $D \sim \Gamma_s l^2 \sim U^2/V$ at $(Ul/V)^2 > 1$ vs. usual diffusion $D_0 \sim v_F \ell \sim V$ Thus it is possible to have diffusion with conductance g and resistivity per square ρ_0 (in natural units): $q \sim 1/
ho_0 \sim D/D_0 \sim (U/V)^2 \ll 1$ With up to $(UI/V)^2 \sim 1$ and $q \sim 1/l^2 \ll 1$ Problems: finite particle density, small density of states near the ground state Experiment suggestion: to measure a charge of quasi-particles from noise fluctuations

M.Baenninger, A.Ghosh, M.Pepper, H.E.Beere, I.Farrer, D.A.Ritchie PRL **100**, 016805 (2008) vs. S.Kravchenko *et al.* RMP **73**,251 (2001) =

Many electrons near the Fermi level (Coulomb interactions, no spin)



FIG. 4. Dependence of ϵ_y/B on the number of particles N_p , obtained from Fig. 2: W/V = 10 with $\eta(E_y) = 0.4$ (full diamond) and W/V = 7 with $\eta(E_y) = 0.2$ (Θ), where $\epsilon_\eta = E_g/N_p$. The straight line shows the slope when $E_\eta = \text{const. The inset gives the dependence of maximal <math>\eta$ on r_s for W/V = 7 and $N_p = 6$: U/V = 2, $8 < L \leq 28$ (full diamond), and L = 14, $0.25 \leq U/V < 2$ (\diamond).

Level-spacing statistics P(s): $\eta = 1$ Poisson distribution, $\eta = 0$ Wigner-Dyson distribution ϵ_{η} - exitation energy per particle at a given $\eta = const$ (B = 4V) $r_s = U/(2V\sqrt{\pi\nu}), \nu = N_p/L^2 \approx 1/32$ usually $U = 2V, r_s \approx 3.2$, $2 \le N_p \le 20, 8 \le L \le 25$

Result: chaotic, ergodic states at temperature going to zero

Problems: transport properties ?

DS(2000); Song, DS (2000)

Cooper problem in the vicinity of the Anderson transition





Top (left): 3d, $W/W_c = 0.5$, $W_c/V = 16.5$, U/V = -4 (left/middle); U/V = 0 (right); left/right: particle density projected on (x, y)plane; middle: interparticle distance probability Top (right): large coupling gap Δ , not reproduced by mean field (dashed curve L = 12); U/V = -4, L = 10, 12, 14 (symbols) Left: Diagram of bi-particle localized (BLS) phase Result: localized pairs inside noninteracting metallic phase with $g \gg 1$; mean field does not give this BLS phase

Lages, DS PRB 62, 8665 (2000)

3d Hubbard model of spin-1/2 fermions (projector quantum Monte Carlo)



FIG. 1. Distribution of charge density difference for an added pair, $\delta p_{P_{F}}$ projected on the (x,y) plane for a < 8 < 8 < 8 < 1 latter for the same single disorder realization, with Wt-2 (left) and Wt-7(right). N=108. Top: exact compation for Ut-0, $\leq =70:55$ (left; right). Middle: POMC calculation for Utr=-4, $\leq =48.65$ (left; right). Middle: POMC calculation for Utr=-4, $\leq =48.65$ (left; dimensionless units (see text).



FIG. 2. Inverse participation ratio $\langle \xi \rangle$ averaged over disorder realizations, as a function of disorder strength *W* for a $6 \times 6 \times 6$ lattice, at U=0 (open circles) and U/t=-4 (solid circles). Dotted lines show linear fits to the data, the dashed line represents $\xi=1$ (see text), and error bars indicate statistical errors.

Srinivasan, Benenti, DS (2002) (up to N = 110 fermions; t = V)

Superconductor-Insulator Transition: experiment



Fig.1. Magnetoresistance of the film in state 1 (a) and in state 2 (b). The critical R_c and B_c values at T = 0 are indicated. Also shown is the position of metalinsulator transition, B_{I-M} , determined from Fig.2. The temperature dependences of the resistance are analyzed at fields marked by vertical bars



V.F.Gantmakher et al. Pis'ma ZhETF 68, 337 (1998)

Superinsulator in a static electric field



FIG. 4 (color). Two-dimensional map of the dI/dV values in the $B - V_{dc}$ plane. For the sample of Fig. 2 (Ja5), we have measured dI/dV traces as a function of V_{dc} at *B* intervals of 0.2 T and at T = 0.01 K. The color scale legend on the righthand side shows the various colors used to represent the values of dI/dV. The horizontal dashed line denotes B_c (= 0.4 T) of this sample.

Left: Shahar *et al.* PRL **94**, 017003 (2005). Right: Baturina *et al.* Nature **452**, 613 (2008).



Figure 3 | Magnetic-field-tuned transition to superinsulating state. a, The two-dimensional colour map of the current values in the B-V plane. The colour scale on the right-hand side represents current. The black domain in the map corresponds to the superinsulating state. The border between the

Superinsulator as BLS phase



Delocalization of two interacting particles with attractive Hubbard interaction U = -2V by a static electric force F. The generalized Cooper problem is considered on 2D lattice of size $L \times L = 40 \times 40$ at disorder strength W = 5V, a static field *F* is directed along y-axis. Probability is shown for a lowest energy eigenstate with a maximum probability $f(y) = \sum_{x} f(x, y)$ at y = L/2. Left panels show one-particle probability f(x, y) and right panels show interparticle distance probability $f_d(x, y)$. The static electric force, directed along y-axis, is F = 0(a, b), F = 0.003V(c, d), F = 0.016 V(e, f), F = 0.052 V(g, h).(Lages, DS (2011))

Superinsulator as BLS phase



field breaking of BLS pairs: $F_c \approx \Delta/\ell$, $V_c = F_c L$ at $L > \ell$ (Lages, DS (2011))

Superinsulator as BLS phase



Left: F_c vs L at $L > \ell \sim 0.5 \mu m$ (Kowal, Ovadyahu Physica C **468**, 322 (2011)) Right: phase diagram BLS-TIP-SIT (Lages, DS (2011)

- L2.1. P.W.Anderson, *Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices*, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958)
- L2.2. F.Benvenuto, G.Casati, A.S.Pikovsky, D.L.Shepelyansky, *Manifestations of classical and quantum chaos in nonlinear wave propagation*, Phys. Rev. A, **44**, R3423 (1991)
- L2.3. D.L.Shepelyansky, *Delocalization of Quantum Chaos by Weak Nonlinearity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1787 (1993)
- L2.4. A.S.Pikovsky and D.L.Shepelyansky, *Destruction of Anderson localization by a weak nonlinearity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 094101 (2008)
- L2.5. I.Garcia-Mata and D.L.Shepelyansky, *Delocalization induced by nonlinearity in systems with disorder*, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 026205 (2009)
- L2.7. I.Garcia-Mata and D.L.Shepelyansky, *Nonlinear delocalization on disordered Stark ladder*, Eur. Phys. J. B **71**, 121 (2009)
- L2.8. M.Mulansky, K.Ahnert, A.Pikovsky and D.L.Shepelyansky, *Dynamical thermalization of disordered nonlinear lattices*, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 056212 (2009)
- L2.9. M. Mulansky and A. Pikovsky, *Spreading in disordered lattices with different nonlinearities*, Europhys. Lett. **90**, 10015 (2009)
- L2.10. A. Pikovsky and S. Fishman, *Scaling Properties of Weak Chaos in Nonlinear Disordered Lattices*, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 025201(R) (2011)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

References (continued):

L2.11. B.V.Chirikov and V.V.Vecheslavov, Arnold diffusion in large systems, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 112, 1132 (1997) [JETP 85(3), 616 (1997)] L2.12. M.Mulansky, K.Ahnert, A.Pikovsky, D.L.Shepelyansky, Strong and weak chaos in weakly nonintegrable many-body Hamiltonian systems, arXiv:1103.2634v2 [nlin.CD] (2011) L2.13. D.L.Shepelyansky, Coherent propagation of two interacting particles in a random potential, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2607 (1994) L2.14. Y. Imry, Coherent propagation of two interacting particles in a random potential, Europhys. Lett. 30, 405 (1995) L2.15. D.L.Shepelyansky, Interactions and localization: two interacting particles approach, in Correlated fermions and transport in mesoscopic systems, Eds. T.Martin, G.Montambaux and J.Trân Thanh Vân, Editions Frontieres, Gif-sur-Yvette, p.201-210 (1996) (Proc. XXXI Moriond Workshop "Rencontres de Moriond", Les Arcs, 1996; cond-mat/9603086) L2.16. O.N.Dorokhov, Localization of 2 coupled particles in a one-dimensional random potential, Zhur. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 98, 646 (1990) L2.17. D.Weinmann, A.Miler-Groeling, J.-L.Pichard and K.Frahm, h/2e Oscillations for correlated electron pairs in disordered mesoscopic rings, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1598 (1995); ibid. 78, 4889 (1997) L2.18. F. von Oppen, T.Wettig and J.Müller, Interaction-induced delocalization of two

particles in a random potential: scaling properties, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 491 (1996)

References (continued):

L2.19. F.Borgonovi, D.L.Shepelyansky, Two interacting particles in an effective 2-3-d random potential, J. de Physique I France v.6 (1996) p.287-299 (cond-mat/9507107) L2.20. J.Lages and D.L.Shepelyansky, Delocalization of two-particle ring near the Fermi level of 2d Anderson model, Eur. Phys. J. B 21, 129 (2001) (color at cond-mat/0002296) L2.21. D.L.Shepelyansky, Three-dimensional Anderson transition for two electrons in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B, 61, 4588 (2000) L2.22. Ph.Jacquod and D.L.Shepelyansky, Two intercting guasiparticles above the Fermi sea, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4986 (1997) L2.23. S.V.Kravchenko, D.Simonian, M.P.Sarachik, W.Mason and J.E.Furneaux, Electric field scaling at a B = 0 metal-insulator transition in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4938 (1996) L2.24. P.H.Song and D.L.Shepelyansky, Low energy transition in spectral statistics of two-dimensional interacting fermions, Phys. Rev. B 61, 15546 (2000) L2.25. J.Lages and D.L.Shepelyansky, Cooper problem in the vicinity of the Anderson transition, Phys. Rev. B 62, 8665 (2000) L2.26. B.Srinivasan, G.Benenti and D.L.Shepelyansky, Transition to an insulating phase induced by attractive interactions in the disordered three-dimensional Hubbard model, Phys. Rev. B 66, 172506 (2002) L2.27. J.Lages and D.L.Shepelyansky, Superinsulator as a phase of bi-particle localized states, Eur. Phys. J. B 81, 237 (2011)

Books, reviews:

L2.B1. P.A.Lee and T.V.Ramakrishnan, *Disordered electronic systems*, Rev. Mod.
Phys. 57, 287 (1985)
L2.B2. B.Kramer and A.MacKinnon, *Localization: theory and experiment*, Rep. Prog.
Phys. 56, 1469 (1993)
L2.B3. E.Abrahams, S.V.Kravchenko and M.P.Sarachik, *Metallic behavior and related phenomena in two dimensions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 251 (2001)
L2.B4. Y.Imry, *Introduction to mesoscopic physics*, Oxford Univ. Press (2002)
L2.B5. E.Akkermans and G.Montambaux, *Mesoscopic Physics of Electrons and Photons*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2007)
L2.B6. V.F. Gantmakher, V.T. Dolgopolov, *Superconductor-insulator quantum phase transition*, Physics-Uspekhi, 53, 3 (2010) (arXiv:1004.3761)