## Google matrix of the world trade network



* Quantware group: classical/quantum chaos, dynamical systems, large matrices
* How Google search works, PageRank, CheiRank
* Examples of directed networks: Wikipedia, University networks, DvvaDi search; Ulam networks, Linux Kernel network, fractal Weyl law
* World trade from UN COMTRADE 1962-2009: arxiv:1103.5027
=> democratic treatment of all UN countries
* Towards ranking of bank financial flows
S.Brin and L.Page, Comp. Networks ISDN Systems 30, 107 (1998)


## How Google works

## Markov chains (1906) and Directed networks

 Weighted adjacency matrix

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

For a directed network with $N$ nodes the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is defined as $A_{i j}=1$ if there is a link from node $j$ to node $i$ and $A_{i j}=0$ otherwise. The weighted adjacency matrix is

$$
S_{i j}=A_{i j} / \sum_{k} A_{k j}
$$

In addition the elements of columns with only zeros elements are replaced by 1/N.

## How Google works

## Google Matrix and Computation of PageRank

$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{S P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}=$ stationary vector of $\mathbf{S}$; can be computed by iteration of $\mathbf{S}$.
To remove convergence problems:

- Replace columns of 0 (dangling nodes) by $\frac{1}{N}$ :

- To remove degeneracies of $\lambda=1$, replace $\mathbf{S}$ by Google matrix $\mathbf{G}=\alpha \mathbf{S}+(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathbf{E}}{N} ; \quad G P=\lambda P \quad \Rightarrow$ Perron-Frobenius operator
- $\alpha$ models a random surfer with a random jump after approximately 6 clicks (usually $\alpha=0.85$ ); PageRank vector $=>P$ at $\lambda=1\left(\sum_{j} P_{j}=1\right)$.
- CheiRank vector $P^{*}: G^{*}=\alpha \mathbf{S}^{*}+(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{N}}, G^{*} P^{*}=P^{*}$
( $\mathbf{S}^{*}$ with inverted link directions)
Fogaras (2003) ... Chepelianskii arXiv:1003.5455 (2010)


## Real directed networks

Real networks are characterized by:

- small world property: average distance between 2 nodes $\sim \log N$
- scale-free property: distribution of the number of ingoing or outgoing links $\rho(k) \sim k^{-\nu}$

PageRank vector for large WWW:

- $P(K) \sim 1 / K^{\beta}$, where $K$ is the ordered rank index
- number of nodes $N_{n}$ with PageRank $P$ scales as $N_{n} \sim 1 / P^{\nu}$ with numerical values $\nu=1+1 / \beta \approx 2.1$ and $\beta \approx 0.9$.
- PageRank $P(K)$ on average is proportional to the number of ingoing links
- CheiRank $P^{*}\left(K^{*}\right) \sim 1 / K^{* \beta}$ on average is proportional to the number of outgoing links $(\nu \approx 2.7 ; \beta=1 /(\nu-1) \approx 0.6)$
- WWW at present: $\sim 10^{11}$ web pages

Donato et al. EPJB 38, 239 (2004)

## From Encyclopédie (1751) to Wikipedia (2009)

## ENCYCLOPEDIE,

0 U
DICTIONNAIRE RAISONNE
DES SCIENCES,
DeS arts et des métiers,
par une societe de gens de lettres.
Mis en ordre \& publie par M. DIDE ROT, de l'Académie Royale des Sciences \& des BellesLettres de Pruffe; \&\& quant à lo Partie Mathèmatique, par M. D'ALEMBERT,
de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de Paris, de celle de Pruffe, \&e de la Société Royale de Londres.

> Temùm fories junduraque pollet,
> Tanuim de medio funguis actedit honoris! Horst.

TOME PREMIER.


A PARIS,

Chez $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { L E BRET O N, lmprimeur ordinaire du Roy, me de di Haree } \\ \text { D U BR }\end{array}\right.$
D UR A N D, nie Soint Joçuru, A Seirt Leuly, © an Grifgen
M. D C C. LI.

AVEC APPROBATION ET PRIVILEGE DUROY.

"The library exists ab aeterno." Jorge Luis Borges The Library of Babel, Ficciones

## Wikipedia ranking of human knowledge

Wikipedia English articles $N=3282257$ dated Aug 18, 2009


Dependence of probability of PagRank $P($ red $)$ and CheiRank $P^{*}$ (blue) on corresponding rank indexes $K, K^{*}$; lines show slopes $\beta=1 /(\nu-1)$ with $\beta=0.92 ; 0.57$ respectively for $\nu=2.09 ; 2.76$.
[Zhirov, Zhirov, DS EPJB 77, 523 (2010)]

## Two-dimensional ranking of Wikipedia articles



Density distribution in plane of PageRank and CheiRank indexes ( $\ln K, \ln K^{*}$ ): (a) 100 top countries from 2DRank (red), 100 top from SJR (yellow), 30 Dow-Jones companies (cyan); (b)100 top universities from 2DRank (red) and Shanghai (yellow); (c)100 top personalities from PageRank (green), CheiRank (red) and Hart book (yellow); (d) 758 physicists (green) and 193 Nobel laureates (red).

## Wikipedia ranking of universities, personalities

## Universities:

PageRank: 1. Harvard, 2. Oxford, 3. Cambridge, 4. Columbia, 5. Yale, 6. MIT, 7.
Stanford, 8. Berkeley, 9. Princeton, 10. Cornell.
2DRank: 1. Columbia, 2. U. of Florida, 3. Florida State U., 4. Berkeley, 5.
Northwestern U., 6. Brown, 7. U. Southern CA, 8. Carnegie Mellon, 9. MIT, 10. U. Michigan.
CheiRank: 1. Columbia, 2. U. of Florida, 3. Florida State U., 4. Brooklyn College, 5.
Amherst College, 6. U. of Western Ontario, 7. U. Sheffield, 8. Berkeley, 9.
Northwestern U., 10. Northeastern U.
Personalities:
PageRank: 1. Napoleon I of France, 2. George W. Bush, 3. Elizabeth II of the United Kingdom, 4. William Shakespeare, 5. Carl Linnaeus, 6. Adolf Hitler, 7. Aristotle, 8. Bill Clinton, 9. Franklin D. Roosevelt, 10. Ronald Reagan.
2DRank: 1. Michael Jackson, 2. Frank Lloyd Wright, 3. David Bowie, 4. Hillary
Rodham Clinton, 5. Charles Darwin, 6. Stephen King, 7. Richard Nixon, 8. Isaac Asimov, 9. Frank Sinatra, 10. Elvis Presley.
CheiRank: 1. Kasey S. Pipes, 2. Roger Calmel, 3. Yury G. Chernavsky, 4. Josh Billings (pitcher), 5. George Lyell, 6. Landon Donovan, 7. Marilyn C. Solvay, 8. Matt Kelley, 9. Johann Georg Hagen, 10. Chikage Oogi.

## Correlator of PageRank and CheiRank



$$
\kappa=N \sum_{i} P(K(i)) P^{*}\left(K^{*}(i)\right)-1
$$

## Spectrum of UK University networks



Arnoldi method: Spectrum of Google matrix for Univ. of Cambridge (left) and Oxford (right) in 2006 ( $N \approx 200000, \alpha=1$ ). [Frahm, Georgeot, DS arxiv:1105.1062 (2011)]

## World trade network (WTN) of United Nations COMTRADE 1962-2009



Number of countries (black), links (dashed/points) and mass volume in USD (red)
Leonardo Ermann, DS arxiv:1103.5027 (2011)

## PageRank, CheiRank of World Trade



Year 2008: Probabilities of PageRank $P(K)\left(\right.$ red), CheiRank $P^{*}\left(K^{*}\right)$ (blue) for all commodities (top) and crude petroleum (bottom), $\alpha=\mathbf{0 . 5 ;} 0.85$ (full/dotted); (dashed curves are for ImportRank, ExportRank); dashed line Zipf law $P \sim 1 / K ; 227$ countries

## Ranking of World Trade

2008: All commodities


## Ranking of World Trade



## Ranking of World Trade

2008: Crude petroleum


## Ranking of World Trade

2008: Crude petroleum


## Mass flow on World Trade Network (WTN)

RMT model $M_{i j}=\epsilon_{i} \epsilon_{j} / i j$ (all commod. 1962/2008 left/right top; petroleum left bottom; model right bottom)


## Global distribution for WTN

All commodities 1962-2009


## Global distribution for WTN

All commodities 1962-2009: left - zoom, right - RMT model


The poor stay poor and the rich stay rich

## Velocity fluctuations for WTN

1962-2009: Rank velocity fluctuations $(\Delta v)^{2}=(\Delta K)^{2}+\left(\Delta K^{*}\right)^{2}$


## Rank evolution in time



## Netherlands Rank evolution in time



## Rank evolution in time



Top: $1 \leq K+K^{*} \leq 40 ; 41 \leq K+K^{*} \leq 80 ; 81 \leq K+K^{*} \leq 120$; Bottom: $1 \leq K+K^{*} \leq 20 ; 21 \leq K+K^{*} \leq 40 ; 41 \leq K+K^{*} \leq 60$

## Rank table 2008 ( $74 \%$ of countries of G20)

Table 1. Top 20 ranking for all commodities - 2008.

| Ran | $K$ | $K^{*}$ | $K_{2}$ | $\tilde{K}$ | $\tilde{K}^{*}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | USA | China | USA | USA | China |
| 2 | Germany | USA | China | Germany | Germany |
| 3 | China | Germany | Germany | China | USA |
| 4 | France | Japan | Japan | France | Japan |
| 5 | Japan | France | France | Japan | France |
| 6 | UK | Italy | Italy | UK | Netherlands |
| 7 | Italy | Russian Fed. | UK | Netherlands | Italy |
| 8 | Netherlands | $\bullet$ Rep. of Korea | Netherlands | Italy | Russian Fed. |
| 9 | India | UK | India | Belgium | UK |
| 10 | Spain | Netherlands | Rep. of Korea | Canada | Belgium |
| 11 | Belgium | $\bullet$ Singapore | Belgium | Spain | Canada |
| 12 | Canada | $\bullet$ India | Russian Fed. | Rep. of Korea | Rep. of Korea |
| 13 | Rep. of Korea | Belgium | Canada | Russian Fed. | Mexico |
| 14 | Russian Fed. | Australia | Spain | Mexico | Saudi Arabia |
| 15 | Nigeria | Brazil | Singapore | Singapore | - Singapore |
| 16 | Thailand | Canada | Thailand | India | Spain |
| 17 | Mexico | Spain | Australia | Poland | Malaysia |
| 18 | Singapore | South Africa | Brazil | Switzerland | Brazil |
| 19 | Switzerland | Thailand | Mexico | Turkey | •India |
| 20 | Australia | U. Arab Emir. | U. Arab Emir. | Brazil | Switzerland |

## Rank table 2008

Table 2. Top 20 ranking for crude petroleum -2008.

| Ran | $K$ | $K^{*}$ | $K_{2}$ | $\tilde{K}$ | $K^{*}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | USA | $\bullet$ Russian Fed. | USA | USA | $\bullet$ Saudi Arabia |
| 2 | Canada | $\bullet$ Kazakhstan | India | Japan | $\bullet$ Russian Fed. |
| 3 | Netherlands | U. Arab Emir. | Singapore | China | U. Arab Emir. |
| 4 | Belgium | USA | UK | Italy | $\bullet$ Nigeria |
| 5 | India | Ecuador | South Africa | Rep. of Korea | Iran |
| 6 | China | Saudi Arabia | Canada | India | Venezuela |
| 7 | Germany | India | Australia | Germany | Norway |
| 8 | Japan | South Africa | U. Arab Emir. | Netherlands | $\bullet$ Canada |
| 9 | Rep. of Korea | $\bullet$ Nigeria | Colombia | France | Angola |
| 10 | UK | Sudan | Azerbaijan | UK | Iraq |
| 11 | Singapore | Azerbaijan | Malaysia | Spain | Libya |
| 12 | Italy | Venezuela | Brazil | Singapore | $\bullet$ Kazakhstan |
| 13 | Australia | Norway | Belgium | Canada | Kuwait |
| 14 | Malaysia | Iran | Trinidad and Tobago | Thailand | Azerbaijan |
| 15 | Spain | Algeria | France | Belgium | Algeria |
| 16 | France | Singapore | Netherlands | Brazil | Mexico |
| 17 | Brazil | Kuwait | Kenya | Turkey | UK |
| 18 | Sweden | UK | Angola | South Africa | Qatar |
| 19 | South Africa | Angola | China | Poland | Oman |
| 20 | Thailand | Canada | Thailand | Australia | Netherlands |

## Google matrix of multiproduct trade 2008



CheiRank vs. PageRank for multiproduct trade at $N_{p}=182$ for $N_{c}=227$ UN COMTRADE countries in 2008; 3 models of product coupling (full, dotted, dashed curves)
L.Ermann, DS (in progress)

## Petroleum price effect on ranking of trade 2008




CheiRank $K^{*}$, PageRank $K$ variation with petroleum price in respect to price of 2008
L.Ermann, DS (in progress)

## Towards bank financial network ranking



Fig. 1. Fedwire interbank payment network. First day of Sample. 6600 nodes and over 70,000 undirected links [39].
K.Soramäki et al., The topology of interbank payment flows, Physica A 379, 317 (2007)

## Google Matrix Applications

practically to everything ....

more data at
http://www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/QWLIB/2drankwikipedia/ .../tradecheirank/
(Image: Peter the Great at shipbuilding in Zaandam, 1697)
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