Quantum small world of dynamical thermalization

TM

Dima Shepelyansky (CNRS, Toulouse) www.quantware.ups-tlse.fr/dima

with L.Ermann (CNEA AR), K.Frahm (UPS Toulouse), A.Kolovsky (RAS RU)



Orbital occupation numbers n_k for interacting fermionic atoms in a Sinai-oscillator trap at Åberg parameter A = 3.5; numerical result from many-body eigenstates (left), theoretical Fermi-Dirac distribution (right); M = 16 orbitals and L = 7 fermions (Frahm, Ermann, DS (2019) [R1]) Support ANR NANOX project MTDINA

Small-world network and 6-degrees of separation

Erdös-Rényi random graphs, Erdös number (1959) [R39]

Milgram small-world experiment (1967) [R40]

(left $d \approx 6$ from Nebraska to Boston)

Backstrom *et al.* entire Facebook of 721M users (2012) [R41] (right $d = 4.74 \pm 0.02$; on average only about 190 nonzero links)



more details: Dorogovtsev (2010) [R42] Quantum many-body systems \rightarrow quantum small world due to two-body interactions in nature

Loschmidt - Boltzmann dispute on time reversibility (1876-1877)

* irreversible statistical laws from reversible dynamical equations



Boltzmann (1872) [R2], Loschmidt (1876) [R3], Boltzmann (1877) [R4]

* and quantum Planck constant \hbar ?

Dynamical Chaos as the origin of statistical laws

* dynamical systems with a few degrees of freedom



Poincaré (1893) [R5], Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser theorem (1954-1963) [R6], Sinai (1963) [R7], Chirikov (1959-1979) [R8] Time reversal breaking due to exponential instability of chaotic dynamics Sinai billiard (left) and Sinai oscillator [R1,R9] (right) \rightarrow Ketterle (1995-2002) [R10] (BEC 3d) $H = (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + \omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2)/2 + V_d(x, y); \omega_x = 1, \omega_y = \sqrt{2},$ elastic disk $r_d = 1, x_d = y_d = -0.5$

Quantum Chaos and many-body systems

* nuclear scattering and spectra of nuclei



Einstein (1917) [R11], Bohr (1936) [R12], Wigner and Random Matrix theory (RMT) (1955-1967) [R13], Bohigas-Giannoni-Schmit (1984) [R14]

Bohr billiard (left) [R12] and RMT validation for Sinai billiard (right)[R14]

Two-body Random Interaction Model (TBRIM)

* two-body interactions in nature but RMT statistics



Fig.4. Nearest-neighbour spacing distributions in the ground state region (a) Gaussian orthogonal ensemble;
(b) a two-body random hamiltonian ensemble. The curve is the same as in fig. 2 for k = 0.

Bohigas, Flores (1971) fig of [R15]; French, Wong (1971) [R16] Same Hamiltonian as SYK black hole model (TBRIM=SYK) Sachdev, Ye (1993) [R17], Kitaev (2015) [R18], Sachdev (2015) [R19], Garcia-Garcia, Verbaarschot (2016) [R20]

TBRIM with one-particle orbitals

* fermions of one-particle orbitals with two-body random interactions TBRIM Hamiltonian of L spinless fermions on M energy orbitals ϵ_k :

$$\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{H}_{int} , \ \widehat{H}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k=1}^M v_k \widehat{c}_k^{\dagger} \widehat{c}_k , \\ \widehat{H}_{int} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2M^3}} \sum_{ijkl} J_{ij,kl} \widehat{c}_i^{\dagger} \widehat{c}_j^{\dagger} \widehat{c}_k \widehat{c}_l ,$$

 $\hat{c}_i^{\dagger}, \hat{c}_i$ are fermion operators for the *M* orbitals; $v_k (J_{ij,kl})$ are real Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variance $\langle v_k^2 \rangle = V^2$ $(\langle J_{ij,kl}^2 \rangle = J^2(1 + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}))$ so that the non-interacting orbital one-particle energies are given by $\epsilon_k = v_k/\sqrt{M}$. The variance of the interaction matrix elements is chosen such they correspond to a GOE-matrix of size $M_2 \times M_2$ with $M_2 = M(M-1)/2$. The matrix size of *H* is N = M!/L!(M-L)! with K = 1 + L(M-L) + L(L-1)(M-L)(M-L-1)/4 nonzero links.

One-particle energy spacing $\Delta = \Delta_1 \approx V/M^{3/2} \gg \Delta_L \propto 1/N$; two-body coupling element $U \approx J/M^{3/2}$; conductance $g = \Delta/U \approx V/J \gg 1$

Åberg (1990,1992) [R21,R22] (random signs); Flambaum, Izrailev (1997) [R23]; Jacquod, DS (1997) [R24] (TBRIM quantum chaos border); DS (2001) [R25]; Kolovsky, DS (2017) [R26]; Frahm, DS (2018) [R27] (link to SYK)

Onset of quantum chaos in finite many-body systems

COMMON LORE IN NUCLEAR PHYSICS:

energy level spacing drops exponentially with a number of particles *L* (e.g. fermions) or energy excitation above Fermi energy (or density of states grows exponentially) and thus an exponentially small two-body interaction leads to emergence of quantum chaos, RMT, thermalization

see e.g.

A.Bohr, B.Mottelson (1969) [28]; Guhr, Muller-Groeling, Weidenmuller (1998) [R29]; Flambaum, Gribakin, Sushkov (1997) [R30]

Åberg criterion for many-body quantum chaos

Onset of quantum chaos in systems with two-body interactions: spacing between adjacent energy levels drops exponentially with number of particles L: $\Delta_L \propto \exp(-L)$. Interaction induced coupling, two-body matrix element between directly coupled states $U_c = U$.

Spacing between directly coupled states: $\Delta_c \gg \Delta_L$.

Åberg criterion for onset of quantum chaos: $U_c \approx \Delta_c \gg \Delta_L (A = U_c/\Delta_c > 1)$

Åberg (1990,1992) [R21,R22] (random signs of interaction, argument refers on Weidenmuller works even if in (1998) [R29] there is no theory for that); DS, Sushkov (1997) [R31] (argument of 3 interacting particles); Jacquod, DS (1997) [R24]

 \rightarrow dynamical thermalization near Fermi energy in TBRIM:

 $\delta E > \delta E_{ch} \approx g^{2/3} \Delta \gg \Delta;$

 \rightarrow dynamical thermalization border/conjecture (DTC) (in metalic quantum dots $U/\Delta = J/V \approx 1/g \ll 1$,

 $g = E_{Thouless} / \Delta \gg 1$ dot conductance)

Analytical confirmation of DTC for TBRIM:

Gornyi, Mirlin, Polyakov et al. (2016,2017) [R32,R33]

Three interacting particles in a metalic dot

M one-particle levels with average spacing $\Delta \sim B/M$ (e.g. 2d Anderson model of size much smaller than localization length)



Interaction coupling matrix element $U_2 \sim U_c$ Density of two-particle states $\rho_2 \approx 1/\Delta_2 \sim M^2/B \sim M/\Delta$ Density of three-particle states $\rho_3 \approx 1/\Delta_3 \sim M^3/B \sim \Delta/{\Delta_2}^2$

The matrix element between initial three-body state $|123\rangle$ and final state $|1'2'3'\rangle$ is given by diagram presented in Fig. with intermediate state $|1'\overline{2}3\rangle$

$$U_3 = \sum_{ar{2}} rac{<12|U_{12}|1'ar{2}>}{(E_1+E_2+E_3-E_{1'}-E_{ar{2}}-E_3)} \sim rac{U_c^{-2}}{\Delta}$$
 ;

the summation is carried out only over single particle states $\overline{2}$ and hence the minimal detuning in the dominator is about Δ . Mixing of 3-particle levels takes place when $U_3 \sim U_c^2/\Delta \sim \Delta_3 \sim {\Delta_2}^2/\Delta$. Hence the transition from Poisson to Wigner-Dyson statistics for three interacting particles takes place at

 $U_c \sim \Delta_c \approx \Delta_2 \gg \Delta_3$ (Åberg parameter A > 1)

DS, Sushkov (1997) [R31]

Quantum chaos and DTC in TBRIM

 $U \approx J/M^{3/2}, \Delta \approx V/M^{3/2}, M = 2L = 2n = m; g = \Delta/U \approx V/J$



exitation energy above ground state $\delta E \approx T \delta n$ with temperature *T*; density of effectively coupled TIP states $\rho_{2ef} \sim \epsilon/\Delta^2$, number of excited electrons $\delta n \sim Tn/\epsilon_F \sim T/\Delta$ with $\rho_{2ef} \sim T/\Delta^2$ $n = L = 6, U/\Delta = 0.15$; inset: $\eta = 0.3$, line is theory at C = 1.08

 $\begin{array}{l} \left[\eta = \int_{0}^{s_{0}} (P(s) - P_{W}(s)) ds / \int_{0}^{s_{0}} (P_{P}(s) - P_{WD}(s)) ds, \text{ intersection point} \\ s_{0} = 0.4729...; \eta = 1 \text{ for } P(s) = P_{P}(s), 0 \text{ for } P(s) = P_{W}(s) \right] \\ U > U_{c} \approx C/\rho_{2}n^{2} \approx C/(\rho_{2ef}(\delta n)^{2}) => T_{c} = C\Delta(\Delta/U)^{1/3} \\ \delta E > \delta E_{ch} \approx T \delta n \approx C\Delta(\delta/U)^{2/3} \approx g^{2/3}\Delta => \text{ dynamical thermalization border} \\ \text{(in metals } U \approx \Delta/g, g \gg 1 \text{ conductance}) \\ \text{Jacquod, DS (1997) [R24]; [q-dot experiment Sivan et al. (1994) [R34]]} \end{array}$

Breit-Wigner width and participation ratio in TBRIM



Breit-Wigner distribution in many-body quantum chaos regime $\rho_W(E - E_n) = \sum_{\lambda} |\psi_{\lambda}(n)|^2 \delta(E - E_{\lambda}) = \Gamma/[2\pi((E - E_n)^2 + \Gamma^2/4)]$ Fermi golden rule: $\Gamma = 2\pi < U^2 > \rho_c = 2\pi U^2 \rho_c/3$ number of populated states IPR: $\xi \approx \Gamma \rho_n \approx 2U^2 \rho_c \rho_n$ \rightarrow exponentially many states populated at time 1/ Γ Georgeot, DS (1997) [R35]

Dynamical thermalization ansatz for TBRIM

At $g \gg 1 \Rightarrow$ Fermi-Dirac thermal distribution of *M* one-particle orbitals:

$$n_k = \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\epsilon_k - \mu)} + 1}; \quad \beta = 1/T,$$

with the chemical potential μ determined by the conservation of number of fermions $\sum_{k=1}^{M} n_k = L$. At a given temperature *T*, the system energy *E* and von Neumann entropy *S* are

$$E(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \epsilon_k n_k , \quad S(T) = -\sum_{k=1}^{M} n_k \ln n_k .$$

Fermi gas entropy is $S_F = -\sum_{k=1}^{M} (n_k \ln n_k + (1 - n_k) \ln(1 - n_k))$. *S* and *E* are obtained from eigenstates ψ_m and eigenenergies E_m of *H* via $n_k(m) = \langle \psi_m | \hat{c}_k^+ \hat{c}_k | \psi_m \rangle (n = L, m = M)$.

S(T) and E(T) are extensive and self-averaging.

This gives the implicit dependence S(E).

Single eigenfunction thermalized ($g \gg 1$)



Dependence of filling factors n_k on energy ϵ for individual eigenstates obtained from exact diagonatization of (red circles) and from Fermi-Dirac ansatz with one-particle energy ϵ (blue curve); blue stars are shown at one-particle energy positions $\epsilon = \epsilon_k$). Here M = 14, L = 6, N = 3003, $J = 1, V = \sqrt{14}, g \approx V/J$ and eigenenergies are E = -4.4160 (left), -3.0744(right); the theory (blue) is drown for the temperatures corresponding to these energies $\beta = 1/T = 20$ (left), 2 (right). Kolovsky, DS (2017) [R26]

Quantum dot regime $\mu(T), E(T)$



Dependence of inverse temperature $\beta = 1/T$ on energy *E* (right) and chemical potential μ on β (left) given by the Fermi-Dirac ansatz for the set of one-particle energies ϵ_k as in above Fig.

Negative temperatures T < 0.

Quantum dot regime S(E)



(a) M = 12, L = 5, N = 792, J = 1; (b) M = 16, L = 7, N = 3003, J = 1; (c) M = 14, L = 6, N = 11440, J = 1; (d) M = 16, L = 7, N = 3003, J = 0.1. Blue points show the numerical data E_m , S_m for all eigenstates, red curves show the Fermi-Dirac thermal distribution; $V = \sqrt{14}$. $S(E = 0) = -L \ln(L/M)$ (equipartition).

SYK black hole regime S(E)



S(*E*) for SYK black hole at V = 0 (left) and quantum dot regime $V = \sqrt{14}$ (right); M = 16, L = 7, N = 11440 (black), M = 14, L = 6, N = 3003 (blue), M = 12, L = 5, N = 792 (red), M = 10, L = 4, N = 210 (magenta); here J = 1. Points show numerical data E_m , S_m for all eigenstates, the full red curve shows FD-distribution (right). Dashed gray curves in both panels show FD-distribution for a semi-empirical model of non-interacting quasi-particles for black points case. Here $S(E = 0) \approx L \ln 2$; $L \approx M/2$. Semi-empirical model: non-interacting particles on orbital energies ϵ_k reproducing many-body density of states

Quantum chaos and quantum computers

The quantum computer hardware is modeled as a two-dimensional lattice of qubits (spin halves) with static fluctuations/imperfections in the individual qubit energies and residual short-range inter-qubit couplings. The model is described by the many-body Hamiltonian

 $H_{\mathsf{S}} = \sum_{i} (\Delta_0 + \delta_i) \sigma_i^z + \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x,$

where the σ_i are the Pauli matrices for the qubit *i*, and Δ_0 is the average level spacing for one qubit. The second sum runs over nearest-neighbor qubit pairs, and δ_i , J_{ij} are randomly and uniformly distributed in the intervals $[-\delta/2, \delta/2]$ and [-J, J], respectively. Eigenstate entropy $S_q = -\sum_i W_i \log_2 W_i$.

Quantum chaos border for quantum hardware:

$$J > J_c pprox \Delta_c pprox 3\delta/n_q \gg \Delta_n \sim \delta 2^{-n_q}$$

Emergency rate of quantum chaos:

$$\Gamma \sim J^2/\Delta_c$$
.

Georgeot, DS (2000) [R36] [R37]; DS (2001) [R25]

Quantum chaos in Sinai-oscillator trap

* experimentally realized by Kettrele (1995-2002) [R10]



Examples of one-particle eigenstates for orbital numbers k = 1 (ground state) (a), k = 6 (b), k = 11 (c) and k = 16 (d). There are only very small stability islands for classical dynamics embedded in a chaotic sea; energy level statistics is RMT [R1],R9]; color bar shows wavefuncton amplitude (at max/min scale). Frahm, Ermann, DS (2019) [R1]

Dynamical thermalization in Sinai-oscillator trap



Orbital occupation numbers n_k for interacting fermionic atoms in a Sinai-oscillator trap at Åberg parameter A = 3.5; numerical result from many-body eigenstates (left), theoretical Fermi-Dirac distribution (right); M = 16 orbitals and L = 7 fermions, N = 11440. Interaction vetween fermionic atoms v(r) has a form of disk of certain small radius $r_c = 0.2$ and amplitude U.

Frahm, Ermann, DS (2019) [R1]

Dynamical thermalization in Sinai-oscillator trap



Color density of occupation numbers n_k in the plane of orbital index k and time t for time evolution $|\psi(t)\rangle = \exp(-iHt)|\psi(0)\rangle$. The bar behind the vertical white line labeled "th" shows the theoretical thermalized Fermi-Dirac distribution; panels are for the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\phi_1\rangle = |0000100000111111\rangle$ (c) and $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\phi_2\rangle = |001010000011111\rangle$ (d) for Åberg parameter A = 3.5. Frahm, Ermann, DS (2019) [R1]

Dynamical thermalization in Sinai-oscillator trap



Time dependent density matrix $|n_{kl}(t)|$ (a), spatial density $\rho(x, y, t)$ (b), spatial density difference with respect to the initial condition (c) all computed from $|\psi(t)\rangle$ for the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = |\phi_2\rangle = |0010100000011111\rangle$ at Åberg parameter A = 3.5. Color bar shows $|n_{kl}|$ (a), $(\rho/\rho_{max})^{1/2}$ (b), $sgn(\Delta\rho)(|\Delta\rho|/\Delta\rho_{max})^{1/2}$ (c) where ρ_{max} or $\Delta\rho_{max}$ for max/min values.

TBRIM as a quantum small-world network

Erdös numbers N_E distributions for TBRIM; links satisfy the Åberg criterion: $|H_{ij}| > C|H_{ii} - H_{jj}|$; J = 0.25, V = 3.74 in DTC (L = 7, M = 16, N = 11440) Frahm, DS (2018) [R27]



* Thermalization and quantum chaos in SYK near ground state (Garcia-Garcia, Verbaarschot *et al.*)

* more analytical, numerical results for DTC and Åberg criterion; DTC for repulsive bosons in 1d Anderson model with first results Schlageck, DS PRE 93, 012126 (2016)

* Ground state delocalization of electrons in disordered 2D potential: 2D experiments: Kravchenko et al. RMP 73,251 (2001); Baenninger *et al.* PRL 100, 016805 (2008); Melnikov *et al.* PRB 101, 045302 (2020)); Song, DS PRB 61, 15546 (2000) RMT near ground state

* quantum models of small-world; (Garcia-Mata *et al.* PRL 118, 166801 (2017) and Refs. therein)

* other groups working on MBL and ETH (ignoring Åberg criterion)

Boris Chirikov and Fritz Haake



Celebrating 70th of Boris Chirikov, 17 July 1998, Toulouse

References:

R1. K.M.Frahm, L.Ermann and D.L.Shepelyansky, Dynamical thermalization of interacting fermionic atoms in a Sinai oscillator trap, MDPI Condens. Matter v.4, p.76 (2019), contribution to the Special Issue in memory of Shmuel Fishman R2. L.Boltzmann, Weitere Studien uber das Warmegleichgewicht unter Gasmolekulen, Wiener Berichte v.66, p.275 (1872) R3. J.Loschmidt, Uber den Zustand des Warmegleichgewichts eines Systems von Korpern mit Rucksicht auf die Schwerkraft; II-73; Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, Austria, p. 128 (1876) R4. L.Boltzmann, Uber die Beziehung eines Allgemeine Mechanischen Satzes zum Zweiten Haupsatze der Warmetheorie; II-75; Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften: Wien, Austria, p. 67 (1877) R5. H.Poincaré, Les methodes nouvelles de mecanique celeste, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1893) [New Methods of Celestial Mechanics, 3 vols. English trans., Ed. D.Goroff, AIP Press (1967); ISBN 1-56396-117-2] R6. V.Arnold, A.Avez, Ergodic Problems in Classical Mechanics, Benjamin: New York, NY. USA (1968) R7. Y.G.Sinai, Dynamical systems with elastic reflections. Ergodic properties of dispersing billiards, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk v.25, p.141 (1970) R8. B.V.Chirikov, A universal instability of many-dimensional oscillator systems, Phys. Rep. v.52, p.263 (1979)

R9. L.Ermann, E.Vergini and D.L.Shepelyansky, *Dynamics and thermalization of a Bose-Einstein condensate in a Sinai-oscillator trap*, Phys. Rev. A v.94, p.013618 (2016)

R10. W.Ketterle, *Nobel lecture: When atoms behave as waves: Bose-Einstein condensation and the atom laser*, Rev. Mod. Phys. v.74, p.1131 (2002) R11. A.Einstein, *Zum Quantensatz von Sommerfeld und Epstein* [*On the Quantum Theorem of Sommerfeld and Epstein*], Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft, Verhandlungen, v.19, p.82 (1917) [English trans. *The Collected papers of Albert Einstein*, v.6, A.Engel trans., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1997)] R12. N.Bohr, *Neutron capture and nuclear constitution*, Nature v.137, p.344 (1936); ibid. p.351

R13. E.Wigner, *Random matrices in physics*, SIAM Rev. v.9, p.1 (1967) R14. O.Bohigas, M.J.Giannoni, C.Schmit, *Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra*

and universality of level fluctuation laws, Phys. Rev. Lett. v.52, p.1 (1984)

R15. O.Bohigas, J.Flores, *Spacing and individual eigenvalue distributions of two-body random Hamiltonians*, Phys. Lett. v.35B, p.382 (1971)

R16. J.B.French, S.S.M.Wong, *Some random-matrix level and spacing distributions for fixed-particle-rank interactions*, Phys. Lett. v.35B, p.5 (1971)

★ ∃ > ★ ∃ >

R17. S.Sachdev, J.Ye, *Gapless Spin-Fluid Ground State in a Random Quantum Heisenberg Magnet*, Phys. Rev. lett. v.70, p.3339 (1993)

R18. A.Y.Kitaev, *A simple model of quantum holography*, Video talks at KITP Santa Barbara, April 7 and May 27 (2015)

R19. S.Sachdev, *Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and strange metals*, Phys. Rev. X v.5, p.041025 (2015)

R20. A.M.Garcia-Garcia, J.J.M. Verbaarschot, *Spectral and thermodynamic properties of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, Phys. Rev. D v.94, p.126010 (2016)

R21. S.Åberg, *Onset of chaos in rapidly rotating nuclei*, Phys. Rev. Lett. v.64, p.3119 (1990)

R22. S.Åberg, *Quantum chaos and rotational damping*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. v.28, p.11 (1992)

R23. V.B. Flambaum and F.M. Izrailev, *Distribution of occupation numbers in finite Fermi systems and role of interaction in chaos and thermalization*, Phys. Rev. E v.55, p.R13(R) (1997)

R24. P. Jacquod and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Emergence of quantum chaos in finite interacting Fermi systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. v.79, p.1837 (1997)

R25. D.L. Shepelyansky, *Quantum chaos and quantum computers*, Physica Scripta v.T90, p.112 (2001) [Nobel Symposium 2000]

(日)

References (continued):

R26. A.R. Kolovsky and D.L. Shepelyansky, Dynamical thermalization in isolated quantum dots and black holes, EPL v.117, p.10003 (2017) R27. K.M.Frahm, D.L.Shepelyansky, Dynamical decoherence of a qubit coupled to a quantum dot or the SYK black hole, Eur. Phys. J. B v.91, p.257 (2018) R28. A.Bohr, B.R.Mottelson, Nuclear Structure (Benjamin, New York) v.1, p.284 (1969) R29. T.Guhr, A.Muller-Groeling, H.A.Weidenmuller, Random-matrix theories in quantum physics: common concepts, Phys. Rep. v.299, p.189 (1998) R30. V.V.Flambaum, G.F.Gribakin, O.P.Sushkov, Criteria for the onset of chaos in finite Fermi systems, arXiv:chao-dyn/9705014v1 May (1997) [withdrawn] R31. D.L. Shepelyansky, O.P. Sushkov, Few interacting particles in a random potential, cond-mat/9603023 (1996); Europhys. Lett. v.37, p.121 (1997) R32. I.V. Gornyi, A.D. Mirlin, D.G. Polyakov, Many-body delocalization transition and relaxation in a guantum dot, Phys. Rev. B v.93, p.125419 (2016) R33. I.V. Gornyi, A.D. Mirlin, D.G. Polyakov, A.L. Burin, Spectral diffusion and scaling of many-body delocalization transitions, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) v.529, p.1600360 (2017) R34. U.Sivan, F.P.Milliken, K.Milkove, S.Rishton, Y.Lee, J.M.Hong, V.Boegli, D.Kern, M. de Franza, Spectroscopy, electron-electron interaction, and level statistics in a disordered quantum dot, EPL v.25, p.605 (1994) R35. B.Georegeot, D.L.Shepelyansky, Breit-Wigner width and inverse participation ratio in finite interacting Fermi systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. v.79, p.4365 (1997)

R36. B.Georgeot, D.L. Shepelyansky, Quantum chaos border for quantum computing, Phys. Rev. E v. 62, p.3504 (2000) R37. B.Georgeot, D.L. Shepelyansky, Emergence of quantum chaos in the quantum computer core and how to manage it, Phys. Rev. E v.62, p.6366 (2000) R38. G.Benenti, G.Casati, D.L. Shepelyansky, Emergence of Fermi-Dirac thermalization in the guantum computer core, Eur. Phys. J. D v.17, p.265 (2001) R39. P. Erdös and A. Rényi, On random graphs I, Publicationes Mathematicae v.6, p.290 (1959) R40. S. Milgram, The small-world problem, Psychology Today v.1(1), p.61 (May 1967); J.Travers, S.Milgram, An experimental study of the small world problem, Sociometry, v.32(4), p.425 (1969) R41. L. Backstrom, P. Boldi, M. Rosa, J. Ugander and S. Vigna, Four degrees of separation, Proc. 4th ACM Web Sci. Conf., ACM N.Y. p.33 (2012) R42. S. Dorogovtsev, Lectures on complex networks, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010)

★ ∃ > ★ ∃ >