

Fractal Weyl law for Linux Kernel Architecture

L.Ermann,¹ A.D. Chepelianskii,² and D.L.Shepelyansky¹

¹*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique du CNRS (IRSAMC), Université de Toulouse, UPS, F-31062 Toulouse, France*

²*LPS, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, UMR8502, F-91405 Orsay, France*

(Dated: May 9, 2010)

We study the properties of spectrum and eigenstates of the Google matrix of a directed network formed by the procedure calls in the Linux Kernel. Our results obtained for various versions of the Linux Kernel show that the spectrum is characterized by the fractal Weyl law established recently for systems of quantum chaotic scattering and the Perron-Frobenius operators of dynamical maps. The fractal Weyl exponent is found to be $\nu \approx 0.63$ that corresponds to the fractal dimension of the network $d \approx 1.2$. The eigenmodes of the Google matrix of Linux Kernel are localized on certain principal nodes. We argue that the fractal Weyl law should be generic for directed networks with the fractal dimension $d < 2$.

PACS numbers: 89.75.Hc, 05.45.Mt, 07.05.Bx, 05.45.Df

The celebrated Weyl law [1] determines the number of quantum states in a given energy interval of conservative Hamiltonian systems in a phase space dimension d as a function of the Planck constant \hbar

$$N_E \propto \hbar^{-\nu}, \quad \nu = d/2. \quad (1)$$

Recently this law has been generalized to the fractal Weyl law applicable to open quantum systems with complex energy spectrum of nonunitary quantum operators [2–7]. In this case the exponent ν is linked to the fractal dimension of invariant sets of classical non-escaping orbits. Such type of operators appear in physical problems of quantum chaotic scattering, open quantum maps, metastable quantum states in molecules and nuclei.

In addition to open quantum systems it has been shown that the fractal Weyl law is also valid for the Perron-Frobenius operators of classical dynamical systems [8] with absorption or dissipation [9]. A finite size matrix approximant \mathbf{S} of such operators is efficiently constructed using the Ulam method [10] which divides the whole phase space on N cells of size ϵ . The eigenvalues λ_i of the Ulam matrix approximant \mathbf{S} of the Perron-Frobenius operator are located in a complex plane of a circle with $|\lambda| \leq 1$. For two-dimensional (2D) maps we have the matrix size $N \propto 1/\epsilon^2$ so that a cell area ϵ^2 effectively plays a role of \hbar and $N_\lambda \propto N^\nu$ where N_λ is a number of eigenvalues λ_i with $|\lambda| \leq |\lambda_i| \leq 1$. For dynamical strange attractors and 2D maps with absorption we have $\nu = d/2$ where d is a fractal dimension of a dynamical invariant set, e.g. a fractal dimension of a strange attractor [9]. For $\nu < 1$ the majority of eigenvalues have $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact the Ulam method applied to 1D and 2D maps naturally generates the Ulam networks [11] which properties can be rather similar to the properties of scale-free directed networks such as the World Wide Web (WWW). Such type of networks naturally appear in various fields of science (see e.g. [12–18]). Therefore we can expect that the fractal Weyl law can appear also for directed

networks similar to those of the WWW. The properties of such networks are well characterized by the Google matrix \mathbf{G} [19] constructed on the basis of directed links between nodes:

$$G_{ij} = \alpha S_{ij} + (1 - \alpha)/N \quad (2)$$

where the matrix \mathbf{S} is obtained by normalizing to unity all columns of the adjacency matrix, and replacing columns with zero elements by $1/N$, N being the network size [16]. In the WWW context, the damping parameter α describes the probability to jump to any node for a random surfer. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ the only eigenvector with $\lambda = 1$ is the PageRank vector which has non-negative components and plays an important role in ranking of the WWW sites [16, 18, 19]. The matrix \mathbf{G} belongs to the class of Perron-Frobenius operators [16]. The spectrum of \mathbf{G} for the WWW university networks was studied recently in [20] and it was shown that a significant fraction of eigenvalues is concentrated at $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$ that is a characteristic property of spectra with the Weyl law. However, no direct evidence for the fractal Weyl law for the WWW was found there for the reasons we explain below.

To check the validity of the fractal Weyl law for a certain type of scale-free networks we focus on the Procedure Call Network (PCN) of the Linux Kernel software introduced and studied recently in [21]. In the PCN the directed links are formed by the calls between procedures and the Google matrix (2) is constructed in the same way as for the WWW. The results of [21] show that only about 1% of eigenvalues have $|\lambda| > 0.1$ that is by a factor 50 smaller compared to the university networks of similar size studied in [20]. This gives a significant indication on appearance of the fractal Weyl law in the PCN. To study the spectral properties of \mathbf{G} we use the data for the Google matrix at $\alpha = 0.85$ obtained in [21]. Our results are not sensitive to α which affects the spectrum of \mathbf{G} in a rather simple way [11, 16].

The eigenvalues λ_i and the right eigenvectors $\psi_i(j)$ sat-




FIG. 1: (Color online) Distribution of eigenvalues λ in the complex plane for the Google matrix \mathbf{G} of the Linux Kernel versions 2.0.40 (top left panel), 2.4.37.6 (top right panel) and 2.6.32 (left bottom panel) with $N = 14079$, $N = 85756$ and $N = 285509$ respectively. Bottom right panel shows eigenvalue distribution for the Google matrix \mathbf{G}^* with inverted link directions in the PCN of the Linux Kernel version 2.6.32 with $N = 285509$. Solid lines represent the unit circle and the lowest limit of computed eigenvalues in top right and bottom panels.

isfy the equation $\sum_{j'} G_{jj'} \psi_i(j') = \lambda_i \psi_i(j)$. To obtain the spectrum of the PCN with large sizes N we used the Arnoldi method from ARPACK library [22] that allowed to find all eigenvalues with $|\lambda| > 0.1$ up to the maximum $N = 285509$. The complex spectrum of λ_i is shown in Fig. 1 for three versions of Linux Kernel. With the increase of the version number and the size N certain characteristic structures appear in the distributions of $\lambda_i = |\lambda_i| \exp(i\varphi_i)$ in the complex plane. Thus, there are clearly visible lines at real axis and polar angles $\varphi = \pi/2, 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3, 3\pi/2$. The later are related to certain cycles in procedure calls, e.g. an eigenstate at $\lambda_i = 0.85 \exp(i2\pi/3)$ is located only on 6 nodes. In Fig. 1 we also present the spectrum of the Google matrix \mathbf{G}^* which is obtained by an inversion of link directions in the adjacency matrix of the PCN as it was proposed in [21]. It is characterized by a similar spectrum structure but a larger radial distribution of λ_i .

The dependence of the normalized integrated density of states $W(\gamma)$, with eigenvalues $0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \gamma$, on the eigenvector relaxation rate γ is shown in Fig. 2. Here $\gamma_i = -2 \ln |\lambda_i|$ and $W(\gamma)$ is normalized on the number of states N_λ in the interval $\lambda \leq |\lambda_i| \leq 1$ with $\lambda = 0.1$. In average the dependence $W(\gamma)$ is the same for all 10 versions of Linux Kernel even if there are certain fluctuations. There are clear vertical steps in $W(\gamma)$ at $\gamma_m = -2 \ln(\alpha/m)$




FIG. 2: (Color online) Dependence of the integrated density of states W on the relaxation rate $\gamma = -2 \ln |\lambda|$ for the Google matrix of different versions of Linux Kernels from V1.0 with $N = 14079$ to 2.6.32 with $N = 285509$ as it is shown on the legend. The highly degenerate values of γ are marked by arrows at $\gamma_m = -2 \ln(\alpha/m)$ for $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.




FIG. 3: (Color online) Dependence of the integrated number of eigenvalues N_λ with $|\lambda| > 0.25$ (red/gray squares) and $|\lambda| > 0.1$ (black circles) as a function of the total number of processes N for versions of Linux Kernels. The values of N correspond (in increasing order) to Linux Kernel versions 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6. The power law $N_\lambda \propto N^\nu$ has fitted values $\nu_{|\lambda|>0.25} = 0.622 \pm 0.010$ and $\nu_{|\lambda|>0.1} = 0.630 \pm 0.015$. Inset shows data for the Google matrix \mathbf{G}^* with inverse link directions, the corresponding exponents are $\nu_{|\lambda|>0.25}^* = 0.696 \pm 0.010$ and $\nu_{|\lambda|>0.1}^* = 0.652 \pm 0.007$.

which are due to a presence of many degenerate states at the corresponding values of λ (e.g. 116 for $\lambda = \alpha$ for the version 2.6.32). Such degeneracy is known to be typical of the WWW networks (see e.g. [18, 20]).

The network size N grows with the version number




FIG. 4: (Color online) Cluster growing fractal dimension of the Linux Kernel networks. The average mass $\langle M_c \rangle$, with uniformly distributed seed, is shown for different Kernel versions as a function of the *network distance* l , in logarithmic scale. The dashed line represents the power law dependence corresponding to fractal dimension $d = 1.21$, with $\langle M_c \rangle \propto l^d$.




FIG. 5: (Color online) Localization properties of Google matrix eigenstates for Linux Kernel versions 2.0.40 2.4.37.6 and 2.6.32 with $N = 14079, 85756, 285509$ respectively. The participation ratio ξ of eigenstates is shown as a function of modulus of the corresponding eigenvalues $|\lambda|$; the values of $\langle \xi \rangle$, averaged over all given interval of $|\lambda|$, are 9.5, 8.6, 17.3 respectively.

of Linux Kernel corresponding to its evolution in time. The dependence of N_λ on N , shown in Fig. 3, clearly demonstrates the validity of the fractal Weyl law with the exponent $\nu \approx 0.63$ for \mathbf{G} (we find $\nu^* \approx 0.65$ for \mathbf{G}^*). We take the values of ν for $\lambda = 0.1$ where the number of eigenvalues N_λ gives a better statistics. Withing statistical errors the value of ν is not sensitive to the cutoff value at small λ . The matrix \mathbf{G}^* has slightly higher values of ν . These results show that the PCN of Linux Kernel has a fractal dimension $d = 2\nu \approx 1.26$.

To check that the fractal dimension of the PCN indeed has this value we compute the dimension of the network by another direct method known as the cluster growing method discussed in [23]. In this method the average mass or number of nodes $\langle M_c \rangle$ is computed as a function of the *network distance* l counted from an initial seed node with further averaging over all seed nodes. In a dimension d the mass $\langle M_c \rangle$ should grow as $\langle M_c \rangle \propto l^d$ that allows to determine the value of d for a given network. The dependence of $\langle M_c \rangle$ on l is shown in Fig. 4. Up to a saturation regime related to a finite number of nodes the growth of $\langle M_c \rangle$ is well described by a power law with the fractal dimension $d \approx 1.2$. Of course, there are certain fluctuations from one version to another, e.g. 2.6.32 version shows an increase of growth at large l , but the fit of data at $l \leq 10$ before the saturation plateau gives the value $d = 1.21 \pm 0.10$ which is approximately the same as from the results obtained for the fractal Weyl law in Fig. 3. The fact that d is approximately the same for all versions means that the Linux Kernel is characterized by a self-similar fractal growth in time. The closeness of d to unity signifies that procedure calls are almost linearly ordered that corresponds to a good code organization.




FIG. 6: (Color online) Coarse-grained probability distribution $|\psi_i(j)|^2$ for the eigenstates of the Google matrix for Linux Kernel version 2.6.32. The horizontal lines show the first 64 eigenvectors ordered vertically by $|\lambda_i|$ from $|\lambda| = 1$ on bottom to $|\lambda| \approx 0.4$ on top (only one state is shown for degenerate eigenvalues, all states are normalized by $\sum_j |\psi_i(j)|^2 = 1$, index j corresponds to the order given by the PageRank located at the bottom line). The top panel shows the coarse-grained complete network of 285509 sites with 307 cells, each containing 930 sites; the bottom panel shows the coarse-grained distribution for first 300 cells, each containing 62 sites (bar and arrow on the top panel mark the region of bottom panel). Probability is proportional to color with black for zero and yellow/white for maximum.

Before we discussed the properties of the spectrum of the Google matrix of the PCN. Let us now analyze the

properties of its eigenstates $\psi_i(j)$. As it is usual for the disordered systems with the Anderson localization (see e.g. [24]), we use the Participation Ratio (PAR) ξ to characterize the localization properties of the eigenstates. It is defined as $\xi_i = (\sum_j |\psi_i(j)|^2)^2 / \sum_j |\psi_i(j)|^4$. Physically, ξ_i gives the number of nodes effectively occupied by an eigenstate $\psi_i(j)$. The dependence of ξ on $|\lambda|$ for three versions of the Linux Kernel is shown in Fig. 5. There is only a small increase of ξ with size N which is however much smaller than the increase of N from one version to another. Also even maximum values of ξ remain by a factor 5×10^3 smaller than the system size N . Thus we conclude that the eigenstates are essentially localized.

The dependence of probability of eigenstates, with largest values of $|\lambda_i|$, on the PageRank index j is shown in Fig. 6 for the version 2.6.32. The main peaks of probability are scattered over the whole system size N . The appearance of vertical stripes is clearly visible. This signifies that statistically the positions of peaks are repeated from one eigenstate to another. It is possible that this effect is related with certain cycles between procedures in the Linux Kernel. These cycles, or communities as it is used to say for the WWW, appear even on a far tail of the PageRank. The origin of such weakly isolated cycles and correlations between different relaxation modes requires further detailed studies.

In conclusion, our results show that the Google matrix of the PCN of the Linux Kernel is characterized by the fractal Weyl law with the fractal Weyl exponent $\nu \approx 0.63$. This value corresponds to the fractal dimension of the network $d \approx 1.2$. This dimension is smaller than two and thus the fractal Weyl law becomes well visible. In contrast to that for networks with the dimension $d \geq 2$ the fractal Weyl law is replaced by a usual dependence $N_\lambda \propto N$ since $\nu = d/2 > 1$. It is known that the WWW has the fractal dimension $d \approx 4.1$ [23] that explains why the fractal Weyl law does not work for the WWW university networks discussed in [20]. On the basis of our result we argue that the fractal Weyl law should appear in all directed networks with the fractal dimension $d < 2$. It is important to note that the fractal Weyl exponent ν is not sensitive to the exponent characterizing the decay of the PageRank: indeed, according to [21] the latter remains the same for the WWW and the PCN of Linux Kernel while the values of ν are different. We think that the further studies of the fractal Weyl law for directed networks with $d < 2$ will bring us to a deeper understanding of their scale-free properties.

- [2] J. Sjöstrand, *Duke Math. J.* **60**, 1 (1990); M. Zworski, *Not. Am. Math. Soc.* **46**, 319 (1999); J. Sjöstrand and M. Zworski, *Duke Math. J.* **137**, 381 (2007); S. Nonnenmacher and M. Zworski, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **269**, 311 (2007).
- [3] W. T. Lu, S. Sridhar and M. Zworski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 154101 (2003).
- [4] H. Schomerus and J. Tworzydło, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 154102 (2004).
- [5] J.P. Keating, M. Novaes, S.D. Prado and M. Sieber, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 150406 (2006); S. Nonnenmacher and M. Rubin, *Nonlinearity* **20**, 1387 (2007).
- [6] D.L. Shepelyansky, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 015202(R) (2008).
- [7] L. Ermann, G.G. Carlo, and M. Saraceno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 054102 (2009); J.M. Pedrosa, G.G. Carlo, D.A. Wisniacki and L. Ermann, *Phys. Rev. E* **79**, 016215 (2009).
- [8] M. Brin and G. Stuck, *Introduction to dynamical systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK (2002).
- [9] L. Ermann and D.L. Shepelyansky, arXiv:0912.5083 (Eur. Phys. J. B to appear (2010)).
- [10] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of mathematical problems*, Vol. 8 of Interscience tracts in pure and applied mathematics, Interscience, New York, p. 73 (1960).
- [11] D.L. Shepelyansky and O.V. Zhirov, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 036213 (2010); L. Ermann and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 036221 (2010).
- [12] D.J. Watts and S.H. Strogatz, *Nature* **393**, 440 (1998).
- [13] M.E.J. Newman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 404 (2001).
- [14] R. Albert, A.-L. Barabási, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 47(2002).
- [15] S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes, *Evolution of Networks*, Oxford University Press (Oxford, 2003).
- [16] A. M. Langville and C. D. Meyer, *Google's PageRank and Beyond: The Science of Search Engine Rankings*, Princeton University Press (Princeton, 2006).
- [17] T. Maillart, D. Sornette, S. Spaeth and G. von Krogh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 218701 (2008).
- [18] K. Avrachenkov, D. Donato and N. Litvak (Eds.), *Algorithms and Models for the Web-Graph: 6th International Workshop, WAW 2009 Barcelona, Proceedings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Lecture Notes Computer Sci. **5427**, Springer, Berlin (2009).
- [19] S. Brin and L. Page, *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems* **30**, 107 (1998).
- [20] O. Giraud, B. Georgeot and D. L. Shepelyansky, *Phys. Rev. E* **80**, 026107 (2009); B. Georgeot, O. Giraud, D.L. Shepelyansky, arXiv:1002.3342 (Phys. Rev. E to appear (2010)).
- [21] A.D. Chepelianskii, arXiv:1003.5455 (2010).
- [22] <http://www.caam.rice.edu/software/ARPACK/>
- [23] C. Song, S. Havlin and H.A. Makse, *Nature (London)* **433**, 392 (2005).
- [24] F. Evers and A.D. Mirlin, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1355 (2008).