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1. Introduction

The problem of thermal distribution for photons led to the invention of the Planck constant and Planck law [1]. Further development of quantum mechanics generalized the Gibbs thermal distribution [2] to the quantum case leading to the quantum Gibbs distribution in a quantum system with discrete energy levels (see e.g. [3, 4]). Thus the problem of thermalization was always fascinating the scientists starting from the famous dispute between Boltzmann and Loschmidt on time reversibility and statistical description (see e.g. [4]).

The thermalization in a given system is based on the ergodicity of motion which can be produced by noise from a heat bath or by internal dynamical chaos. The mathematical and physical foundations of dynamical chaos are now well established and are described in [5, 6, 7, 8]. The first numerical investigations of onset of ergodicity and dynamical thermalization in a nonlinear lattice of coupled oscillators had been performed for the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem [9, 10, 11, 12] with an expectation to find energy equipartition over linear oscillator modes. Surprisingly, for a typical set of parameters the equipartition was absent, even if in certain cases signs of non-periodic behaviour were visible. The absence of ergodicity stimulated a great interest to the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem even if later it became clear that this model is rather close to the integrable Toda lattice and, hence, it does not belong to a class of generic models (see discussions in [3, 11, 12]).

Another approach to investigation of onset of ergodicity over linear oscillator modes in nonlinear lattices had been proposed in [13] by analyzing the effects of nonlinearity on the Anderson localization [14] in systems with disorder or systems of quantum chaos. It was found that below a certain critical nonlinearity a spreading over modes is suppressed or is exponentially slow while at moderate nonlinearity a sub-diffusive spreading continues up to times being by millions time larger than a typical time scale of oscillations. This result has been confirmed and significantly extended by further investigations [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29], however the full understanding of the problem is still lacking. Thus the results [24, 27] indicate that at large times the spearing continues along certain chaotic but non-ergodic layers. The mathematical studies [27, 28, 29] demonstrate all the complexity of this problem where pure-point spectrum of linear system generates intricate resonances induced by nonlinearity. The interest to the problem is also supported by experiments with disordered nonlinear photonic lattices [30, 31] and Bose-Einstein condensates of cold atoms placed in a disordered optical lattice [32].

Recently it was argued that in the discrete Anderson nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DANSE) a process of dynamical thermalization takes place leading to a statistical equilibrium in a finite disordered lattice at a moderate nonlinearity [33]. It was shown numerically that the Gibbs energy distribution takes place over linear eigenmodes. This work generated a certain interest to the process of dynamical thermalization in weakly nonlinear lattices [34]. It was also pointed out that such a thermalization is necessary for emergence of Kolmogorov turbulence in finite size systems [35].

Here we extend the studies of dynamical thermalization in disordered lattices with weak or moderate nonlinearity. We especially stress the situation when the energies of linear modes grow linearly with index of linear modes corresponding to a static Stark field or finite density of levels in a unit energy (frequency) interval. Such a case is typical for the Kolmogorov (or weak wave) turbulence in finite systems [36, 37]. As an
example of such a system we can name the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the Sinai billiard (or any other chaotic billiard) as discussed in [35]. It is also important to note that the DANSE with a static field is also characterized by a subdiffusive spreading [38].

In this work we extend the research line of dynamical thermalization in nonlinear disordered lattices investigating a large number of models. Surprisingly, our results show that in lattices with weak or moderate nonlinearity there is emergence of a quantum Gibbs distribution over energies of linear eigenmodes. In some sense the weak nonlinearity acts as a dynamical thermostat creating a quantum Gibbs distribution. We discuss the conditions under which such a quantum Gibbs replaces a usually expected energy equipartition over linear modes predicted by the classical thermalization theory [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

The paper is constructed as follows: in Section 2 we describe all nonlinear lattice models investigated in this work, in Section 3 we introduce the quantum Gibbs ansatz, results for 1d models $M_1$, $M_2$ and 2d models $M_3$, $M_4$ are presented in Sections 4 and 5, the results for the Klein-Gordon lattice are given in Section 6, the discussion of the results is presented in Section 7.

2. Description of nonlinear lattice models

To investigate the phenomenon of emergence of a quantum Gibbs distribution we study several models of linear lattices with disorder and additional weak or moderate nonlinear terms. These models represent one-dimensional (1d) and two-dimensional (2d) lattices which in absence of nonlinearity can be reduced to the Anderson model of non-interacting electrons (see e.g. [39]) on a disordered lattice in 1d and 2d respectively.

The main DANSE model [16] is described by the equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial t} = E_n \psi_n + |\psi_n|^2 \psi_n + V(\psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1}) .$$

(1)

In the following we use dimensionless units with $\hbar = V = 1$, the Boltzmann constant is taken to be unity so that we have all dimensionless variables. In total we consider the lattice with $N$ sites and periodic boundary conditions. For $E_n = 0$ and long wave limit the system is reduced to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation which is also known in the field of cold atoms as the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [40]. At $\beta = 0$ and random values of $E_n$ distributed in the interval $-W/2 \leq E_n \leq W/2$ the system (1) represents the 1d Anderson model with the localization length $\ell \approx 96/W^2$ [39]. For this distribution of $E_n$ and nonzero $\beta$ the equation (1), named as the DANSE model, was discussed and investigated in [13, 15, 16, 17, 18] and other papers.

The Hamiltonian of DANSE has the form

$$H = \sum_n E_n |\psi_n|^2 + |\psi_{n-1}|^2 + |\psi_{n+1}|^2 + \beta |\psi_n|^4 ,$$

(2)

with $\psi_n$ and $\psi^*_n$ being the conjugated variables. The energy and the probability norm $\sum_n |\psi_n|^2 = 1$ are exact integrals of motion. The Hamiltonian (2) can be rewritten in the basis of linear eigenmodes $\varphi_{nm}$ related to $\psi_n = \sum C_m \varphi_{nm}$. In the eigenmode representation the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{m=1}^N \epsilon_m |C_m|^2 + \beta \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m} V_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m} C_{m_1}^* C_{m_2} C_{m_3}^* C_{m} .$$

(3)
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with \( \sum_m |C_m|^2 = 1 \), and \( V_{m'm,m'} \sim \ell^{-3/2} \) being the transition matrix elements [12]. From this representation it is especially clear that the spreading takes place only due to the nonlinear \( \beta \) coupling.

In 1d we consider the extensions of the DANSE model given by the following replacements in Eq. (4):

\[ E_n \rightarrow E_n + f|n - n_0|, \quad (M1) \]

Here \( E_n \) have the same random distribution as in DANSE, \( n_0 = (N + 1)/2 \) marks the center of the lattice and the periodic conditions link sites \( N \) and 1. This is the model \( M1 \) with the static Stark field \( f \) which models the constant density of states in energy as it is the case in the quantum Sinai billiard [35].

We also study the model \( M2 \) which is obtained from \( M1 \) by the following replacement of the nonlinear term:

\[ \beta \rightarrow \beta|n - n_0|, \quad (M2) \]

In this model \( M2 \) the nonlinear term grows with the level number that often happens for nonlinear wave interactions in wave turbulence (see e.g. [36, 37, 41]).

We also analyze the 2d DANSE lattice studied in [19]:

\[
\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \psi_{n_x,n_y}}{\partial t} = E_{n_x,n_y} \psi_{n_x,n_y} + \beta |\psi_{n_x,n_y}|^2 \psi_{n_x,n_y} + (\psi_{n_x+1,n_y} + \psi_{n_x-1,n_y} + \psi_{n_x,n_y+1} + \psi_{n_x,n_y-1}).
\]

Periodic boundary conditions are used for \( N \times N \) square lattice with \( -N/2 \leq n_x, n_y \leq N/2 \). However, here we use the extended version of this model assuming that

\[ E_{n_x,n_y} = \delta E_{n_x,n_y} + f(n_x^2 + n_y^2), \quad -W/2 \leq \delta E_{n_x,n_y} \leq W/2, \quad (M3). \]

This is the model M3 with random values of energies \( \delta E_{n_x,n_y} \) in a given interval.

In addition we study the model \( M4 \) obtained from the model \( M3 \) by the replacement

\[ \beta \rightarrow \beta(n_x^2 + n_y^2), \quad (M4). \]

This is the 2d analog of model \( M2 \).

Since the term \( (n_x^2 + n_y^2) \) grows linearly with index \( k = |n_x| + |n_y| \) we can consider the model \( M3 \) as the model for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the Sinai billiard (see Eq.(6) at \( F = 0 \) in [34]). Indeed, in a Sinai billiard the energy levels are randomly and homogeneously distributed over the energy axis, as it is the case in model \( M3 \) at \( f > 0 \), and also the nonlinear term has a similar form coupling the linear modes. The advantage of \( M3 \) model is that it is significantly easier for numerical simulations compared to the case of Sinai billiard. The model \( M4 \) has a stronger nonlinear interactions at high wave vectors that is typical for the weak wave turbulence [36, 37].

We note that 2d models \( M3, M4 \) also can be written in the form [3] with more complex matrix elements induced by the nonlinear coupling on 2d lattice.

The above models \( M1, M2, M3, M4 \) have two integrals of motion being energy and the wavefunction norm. The latter is generally absent in nonlinear lattices. For this reason we consider the Klein-Gordon lattice (KG model) described by the Hamiltonian:

\[ H = \sum_l \left[ (p_l^2 + \epsilon_l u_l^2)/2 + \beta u_l^2/4 + (u_{l+1} - u_l)^2/(2\gamma) \right], \quad (9) \]

where \( \epsilon_l \) are taken as random in the interval \([1/2, 3/2]\) (see e.g. [18]). This KG model was studied in [18] and it was shown that it has the same type of subdiffusive spreading
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as DANSE. We keep the same notations as in [18] (see Eq.(6) there) but we introduce the nonlinear coefficient $\beta$ (it is taken at $\beta = 1$ in [18]) and we add a static field $f$ replacing $\tilde{\epsilon}_l \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}_l + f|l - l_0|$ keeping the random distribution in the same interval (in [18] $f = 0$). We use $l_0 = (N + 1)/2$ and periodic conditions linking sites $l = 1$ and $N$. As shown in [18], the linear part of the Hamiltonian at $f = 0, \beta = 1$ can be reduced to the 1d Anderson model.

The time evolution of models $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$ was integrated numerically using the symplectic integration scheme as described in [19]; the KG model was integrated by SABA2C method described in [18]. The time average is done over the time interval $\delta t$ in a vicinity of time $t$. The integration time step was fixed at $\delta t = 0.05$ for all models but we checked that its decrease by a factor 10 did not affect the results of numerical simulations.

3. Quantum Gibbs anzats

For the DANSE and $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$ models we make a quantum Gibbs conjecture that the nonlinear terms act like some kind of dynamical thermostat which creates the quantum Gibbs distribution over quantum states with linear mode eigenenergies $\epsilon_m$. Then according to the standard relations of statistical mechanics [3, 4] we find the probabilities $\rho_m = |C_m|^2$ and the statistical sum $Z$ of the system:

$$\rho_m = Z^{-1} \exp(-\epsilon_m/T) , \quad Z = \sum_m \exp(-\epsilon_m/T) . \quad (10)$$

Here, $T$ is a certain temperature of our isolated system which depends on the initial energy given to the system. As usually for any quantum system with energy levels $\epsilon_m$ we have the total probability $\sum_m \rho_m = 1$ and total energy $E = \sum \rho_m \epsilon_m$ (here we neglect a small nonlinear term correction to energy).

The entropy of the system can be expressed via the average probability $\rho_m$ on level $m$ via the usual formula:

$$S = -\sum_m \rho_m \ln \rho_m , \quad \rho_m = |C_m|^2 , \quad (11)$$

where overline means time averaging.

The entropy $S$, energy $E$ and temperature $T$ are related to each other via the standard thermodynamics expressions [3]:

$$E = T^2 \partial \ln Z/\partial T , \quad S = E/T + \ln Z , \quad \partial S/\partial E = 1/T . \quad (12)$$

This value of entropy yields the maximal possible equipartition for a given initial energy. In an implicit way, a value of energy $E$ determines the temperature $T$ of the system and its entropy, or by varying temperature $T$ in the range ($-\infty$, $+\infty$) we obtain the variation $E(T), S(T)$ and implicitly the curve $S(E)$. The advantage of variables $E, S$ is based on the fact that they both are extensive variables [3, 4] and thus they are self averaging and hence in numerical simulations they have significantly smaller fluctuations comparing e.g. to temperature $T$. It is important to note that the above quantum Gibbs relations can be also obtained from the condition that the entropy $S$ takes the maximal value at variation of probabilities $\rho_m$.

In fact the quantum Gibbs anzats was introduced in [33] for the DANSE and it was shown that it works at moderate nonlinearity $\beta$ and not very strong disorder $W$ (see also discussions in [12]). However, in [33] the striking paradox of quantum Gibbs anzats was not pointed out directly. Indeed, the nonlinear classical lattice is expected
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Figure 1. Top panels: (left) the dependence of entropy $S$ on energy $E$ from the quantum Gibbs ansatz (10) with $\epsilon_m$ taken from a given disorder realization in $M1$ (red dashed curve), the numerical data from time evolution of $M1$ are shown by red points at $t = 10^7$ with $\delta t = 10^6$; the full black curve shows the dependence from the Gibbs ansatz (10) for equidistant level $\epsilon_m = \bar{f}m/2$ with $\bar{f} = 2(\epsilon_{\text{max}} - \epsilon_{\text{min}})/N \approx 1.32f$ (where $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 9.51$ and $\epsilon_{\text{min}} = -1.05$) for a given disorder realization (see text); here $f = 0.5, \beta = 2, W = 2, N = 32$; (right) temperature dependence $T(E)$ from the quantum Gibbs ansatz (10) with the same cases as in left panel, blue curve shows the classical equipartition dependence $T = E/N$, numerical data are not shown here. Bottom panels: same as in the top panels but for the KG model at $f = 0.125, \beta = 1, W = 2, N = 32, \epsilon_{\text{max}} = 2.51$ and $\epsilon_{\text{min}} = 0.71$, numerical evolution is followed up to $t = 10^8$ with $\delta t = 10^6$.

to have energy equipartition over linear modes that is in a drastic contrast with the quantum Gibbs distribution described above.

The examples of dependence $S(E)$ and $T(E)$ produced by the quantum Gibbs ansatz for the models $M1$ and $KG$ are shown in Fig. 1. We use one disorder realization with eigenvalues $\epsilon_m$ for $M1$ and $\epsilon_m = \omega^2_m/2$ for $KG$ (more details on KG model are given in Section 6). To compare the numerical data obtained from time evolution with the Gibbs ansatz we use the exact eigenenergies $\epsilon_m$ obtained from exact matrix diagonalization of the linear problem at a given disorder realization. Examples of dependence of $\epsilon_m$ on index $m$ are shown in Fig. 2 for the model $M1$. We also can use the average dependence $\epsilon_m \approx \bar{f}m/2$ ($1 \leq m \leq N$) with $\bar{f} = 2(\epsilon_{\text{max}} - \epsilon_{\text{min}})/N$ which in an approximate manner takes into account the disorder fluctuations with the maximal $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ and minimal values of $\epsilon_{\text{min}}$ linear eigenenergies. This approach of an effective average density $\bar{f}$ gives a good description of numerical data (see Fig. 1). It gives a slight shift of the maximum of $S(E)$ curve which is more sensitive to a disorder and is not of principal importance. We return to the discussion of KG model in Section 6.
In contrast to the quantum Gibbs distribution the classical thermodynamics implies the energy equipartition over all modes \[ E = (E - E_{\text{min}}) / N \], that gives:
\[ T = (E - E_{\text{min}}) / N \], \[ S = N \ln(E - E_{\text{min}}) + C_0 \],
(13)
where \( E_{\text{min}} \) is a certain minimal energy of the system and \( C_0 \) is a numerical constant. The results of Fig. 1 show the drastic difference between the predictions of quantum and classical thermodynamics.

The dependence \( S(E) \) has one maximum and according to the standard thermodynamics relations \[ (12) \] the system has a negative temperature \( T < 0 \) at the right branch of \( S(E) \) curve. It is known that such situations can appear in quantum systems with energies located in a finite bandwidth \[ 3, 4 \]. We note that recent experiments with cold atoms in optical lattices \[ 43 \] allowed to realise finite quantum systems at negative temperatures.

Below we present the numerical results on the detailed verification of the quantum Gibbs anzats for various lattice models.

4. Results for 1d lattice models

The dependencies \( S(E) \) for 1d lattice models \( M1, M2 \) are shown in Figs. 3, 4.

For \( M1 \) we see that at \( \beta = 2 \) the quantum Gibbs works well at \( f = 0.5 \) and \( W = 2 \). At fixed \( W \) an increase of \( f \) leads to appearance of a significant number of non-thermalized modes at \( f = 2 \). Indeed, at large \( f \) the average distance between linear modes is growing \( \Delta \omega \approx f \) and a nonlinear frequency broadening \( \delta \omega \) becomes...
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Figure 3. Dependence of entropy $S$ on energy $E$ for initial excited eigenmodes of the linear problem in 1d model $M_1$. The value of entropy $S$ is obtained by time averaging over a time interval $\delta t = 10^6$, at time $t = 10^7$, the energy $E$ is taken as the total energy of the lattice when the linear eigenmode $m$ is excited at time $t = 0$ ($1 \leq m \leq N$). The numerical data are shown by points for 3 disorder realisations (black, green, red), the corresponding theoretical quantum Gibbs distributions, with the exact linear eigenenergies $\epsilon_m$ for a given disorder realisation, are shown by dotted curves of the same color. The values of disorder strength $W$ and Stark field $f$ are given in the figure; here $\beta = 2, N = 32$.

5. Results for 2d lattice models

The results for 2d lattice models $M_3, M_4$ are presented in Figs. 5, 6. We note that the model $M_3$ can be also viewed as a model for a nonlinear interaction of laser modes in optical fibers where $z$-propagation along the fiber is analogous to the time evolution in our model. At present the nonlinear dynamics of modes in laser fiber arrays attracts a significant interest of optics community (see e.g. [45, 46, 47]).

We take here a relative large value of a static field $f = 1$ having in mind to model the evolution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a Sinai billiard. Of course, the
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Figure 4. Dependence of entropy $S$ on energy $E$ at three moments of time $t$ for three disorder realisations in 1d model $M2$ at $f = 1$, $W = 2$, $\beta = 0.2$, $N = 32$; the averaging is done in the time interval $\delta t = t/10$. Points show the numerical data for three disorder realisations (three colors), dotted curves show the corresponding theoretical Gibbs distributions.

Figure 5. Dependence of entropy $S$ on energy $E$ at time $t = 10^6$ for two disorder realisations in 2d models $M3$ (top row) and $M4$ (bottom row). Here $f = 1$, $W = 2$, the lattice has $8 \times 8$ sites, the averaging is done in a time interval $\delta t = t/10$. Points show the numerical data, dashed curves show the corresponding theoretical Gibbs distributions, the values of $\beta$ are directly given on the panels.
Figure 6. Time and disorder averaged probabilities $\rho_m(m')$ in mode $m$ for initial state in mode $m'$ for 2d model $M3$ with $f = 1$, $W = 2$, the lattice has $8 \times 8$ sites; the probability is proportional to color changing from maximum (red) to minimum (white). Panels show the indexes $1 \leq m' \leq N$ in x-axis and $1 \leq m \leq N$ in y-axis. The average is done over $N_d = 10$ disorder realizations using the time interval $\delta t = 10^6$ starting from time $t = 10^6$. Left and center panels show the cases with $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 4$ respectively while right panel illustrates theoretical values obtained from the quantum Gibbs distribution.

model $M3$ is only an approximation of this physical system. The obtained results resemble those found for 1d models. At weak nonlinearity we have a a large fraction of non-thermalized modes while for $\beta \geq 2$ (in $M3$) and $\beta \geq 1$ (in $M4$) we find that practically all initial conditions with linear eigenmodes follow the $S(E)$ curve given by the quantum Gibbs anzats.

A more detailed comparison between the numerically obtained probabilities $\rho_m$ and the probabilities given by the Gibbs anzats is shown in Fig. 6. For a given disorder realisation we start from linear eigenmode $m'$ and numerically determine the time averaged probability $\rho_m$ in each of $N$ linear modes. In addition $\rho_m$ are averaged over 10 disorder realisations. The numerical results at $\beta = 1, 4$, $W = 2$, $N = 32$ are compares with the theoretical probabilities of Gibbs anzats obtained for the same disorder realisations (Fig. 6). We see that for $\beta = 1$ (left panel) there is a significant probability to find non-thermalized modes well visible as a high density near the diagonal. However, for $\beta = 4$ we have a good agreement with the probability distribution of Gibbs anzats.

6. Results for 1d Klein-Gordon lattice model

The above lattice models have two exact integrals of motion being the energy of the system and the total probability. These models are obtained from a nonlinear Schrödinger equation and hence the appearance of the quantum Gibbs distribution can be viewed as somewhat natural result with the dynamical thermalization over quantum linear modes produced by a moderate nonlinearity. Due to that it is interesting to study the case of KG model which have only one energy integral.

To understand the properties of KG model we note that the eigenmodes of its linear part are described by the same linear equations as 1d Anderson model (see the correspondence description in [18]). To explore this correspondence in a deeper way we determine the eigenmodes of displacements $u_{lm}$ with eigenfrequencies $\omega_m^2$. The time evolution of the nonlinear KG equation (9) is solved numerically up to times $t = 10^8$ for different disorder realizations. At the initial time $t = 0$ we start with $u_l(t = 0) = u_{lm}$ and $p_l(t = 0) = 0$ ($\sum u_{lm}^2 = 1$). During the time evolution we compute the expansion coefficients $C_m(t) = \sum_l u_l(t)u_{lm}$. From them we determine
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Figure 7. Time evolution of the norm of $\rho$ averaged in time, given by $\kappa = \sum_m |C_m|^2$. The averaged time $\delta t$ and starting time $t$ are $\delta t = 2 \times 10^5$ and $t \approx 10^7$ for left panel and $\delta t = 2.5 \times 10^6$ and $t \sim 10^8$ for right panel. The initial states are taken as normalized eigenstates of the linear system with $m = 1, 6, 11, 16, 21, 26, 31$ (with corresponding colors: black, red, blue, green, yellow, orange, violet) for KG model at $N = 32, W = 2, f = 1/8$ and $\beta = 1$.

The time averaged norm $\kappa = \sum_{m'} |C_{m'}|^2$ where the averaging is done over a time interval $\delta t$ around time $t$. The dependence of $\kappa$ on time for various initial eigenmodes $m$ is shown in Fig. 7. We see that even at very large times $\kappa$ remains approximately constant with variations remaining on a level of $1 - 2$ percent. On average we have $\kappa \approx 1/2$ since a half of energy is concentrated in the kinetic part which is taken at zero at $t = 0$. Since $\kappa$ remains an approximate integral of motion we define the probabilities $\rho_m = |C_m|^2/\kappa$ so that their sum is normalized to unity at a given moment of time $\sum_m \rho_m = 1$. With such a definition of $\rho_m$ we compute the entropy $S$ of the KG model via the usual relation (11). Of course, this normalization does not affect the actual values of $u(t)$ computed during the time evolution. The energy $E$ is the total energy of (9) with the initial state being the linear eigenmode $u_{lm}$ and $p_l = 0$. The energies of linear modes are $\epsilon_m = \omega_{m}^2/2$. With these conditions we can test the validity of the Gibbs anzats for the KG model.

The results for the standard parameters of the KG model at $\beta = 1, f = 0$, used in [18], are shown in Fig. 8. At small lattice size $N = 20$ the fluctuations are present in $S(E)$ dependence but at larger sizes $N = 32, 64$ we find a good agreement of numerical data with the quantum Gibbs anzats.

The dependence on effective disorder strength $W$ is shown in Fig. 9 for the standard parameters of KG model $\beta = 1, f = 0$. We see that at disorder $W = 1$ there is a significant fraction on non-thermalized states. We attribute this to the fact that, in 1d Anderson model at such $W$, the localization length $\ell \approx 96$ becomes much larger than the system size and the linear modes cross the system practically in a ballistic way leading to a different onset of chaos. For $W = 2, 4$ we find a good agreement with the Gibbs anzats.

The dependence of $S(E)$ on $f$ for a fixed $\beta = 1, W = 2$ is shown in Fig. 10. As for the DANSE type models discussed above we find that at large $f$ the fraction of non-thermalized modes becomes significant. The physical reasons are the same: the average spacing between linear modes becomes larger than the nonlinear coupling and the system starts to approach an integrable regime.
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Figure 8. Dependence of entropy $S$ on energy $E$ at time $t = 10^8$ for 7 disorder realisations in the KG model at $W = 2, \beta = 1, f = 0$. The averaging is done in the time interval $\delta t = 10^6$. The lattice size is $N = 20, 32, 64$ (from left to right respectively). Points show the numerical data, dashed curves show the quantum Gibbs distributions for each disorder realization. Solid blue line represents maximum entropy state given by a uniform distribution.

Figure 9. Same as in Fig. 8 for $W = 1, 2, 4$ at $\beta = 1, f = 0, N = 32$; other parameters are as in Fig. 8.

Figure 10. Same dependence $S(E)$ as in Fig. 9 for $W = 2, \beta = 1, N = 32$ in KG model and different $f$ shown directly in panels; here $t = 10^8, \delta t = 10^6$, data are shown for 8 disorder realisations.
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![Graph showing the dependence of S(E) on beta.](image)

**Figure 11.** Same as in Fig. 10 for \( f = 0.125, W = 2, N = 32 \) and \( \beta \) shown directly in the panels; same 8 disorder realisations.

![Graph showing probability distributions.](image)

**Figure 12.** Same probability distribution \( \rho_m(m') \) as in Fig. 6 shown for the KG model with parameters \( f = 0.125, W = 2, N = 32 \) at \( t = 10^9, \delta t = 10^6 \), and 5 disorder realisations. Left and center panels show the cases with \( \beta = 0.5 \) and \( \beta = 1 \) respectively while right panel illustrates theoretical values obtained from the quantum Gibbs distribution (10).

The dependence of \( S(E) \) on nonlinear parameter \( \beta \) at fixed \( f = 0.125, W = 2 \) is shown in Fig. 11. At \( \beta = 0.5 \) we still have non-thermalized modes in the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser intergability regime. The numerical data are in a good agreement with the Gibbs ansatz at \( \beta = 1 \) while at \( \beta = 2 \) the deviations become slightly visible. The deviations become larger for \( \beta = 4 \) (data not shown). This happens since at large \( \beta \) the nonlinear part of Hamiltonian is not weak or moderate and, hence, it leads to appearance of significantly nonlinear effects including breathers and other phenomena. It is possible that the classical energy equipartition over linear modes will appear at such larger nonlinearities. Thus we find that the quantum Gibbs ansatz is valid inside a certain finite range of nonlinearity \( \beta_{\text{min}} < \beta < \beta_{\text{max}} \).

At moderate nonlinearities \( \beta = 1 \) we find that not only the curve \( S(E) \) is well described by the Gibbs ansatz but also the probabilities \( \rho_m(m') \). This fact is illustrated in Fig. 12 where the probability distributions \( \rho_m(m') \), shown in color, are in a good agreement with the quantum distribution of probabilities given by the theoretical Gibbs distribution. At small \( \beta = 0.5 \) we have non-thermalized states with a higher density at the diagonal similar to Fig. 6.

7. Discussion

In this work we studied numerically the time evolution in various types of classical lattices with moderate nonlinearities. We show that at moderate values of nonlinear parameter \( \beta_{\text{min}} < \beta < \beta_{\text{max}} \) the nonlinear interactions between linear lattice
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modes creates a quantum probability distribution over energies of linear modes. The probability distribution is well described by the quantum Gibbs anzats \([10]\) being drastically different from the classical energy equipartition over linear modes expected from classical thermalization picture. In a certain sense the nonlinear term generates a dynamical thermalization in the whole system with the emergence of the quantum Gibbs distribution. The appearance of such a quantum statistics takes place not only in the lattices with a discrete Schrödinger equation (DANSE type), where energy and norm are both conserved, but also in other type of lattices which have only one exact integral of energy. We argue that in the latter case there is an approximate conservation of norm that makes again such nonlinear lattices to be similar to the DANSE type case. The emergence of the quantum Gibbs anzats in nonlinear lattices with only one energy integral of motion allows us to make a conjecture that the quantum Gibbs anzats is a generic phenomenon typical for lattices with weak or moderate nonlinearities. Indeed, a system of linear oscillators is effectively equivalent to a certain effective Schrödinger equation and thus a nonlinear interaction of modes can drive a generic lattice to the quantum Gibbs distribution via dynamical thermalization. We think that the further analysis of dynamical thermalization in nonlinear classical lattices is of fundamental importance for a deeper understanding of onset of ergodicity and thermalization in such systems.

We hope that the phenomenon of dynamical thermalization described here can be tested in experiments with cold atoms in optical lattices (e.g. like in \([32, 43]\)), nonlinear photonic lattices (e.g. like in \([30, 31]\)) or optical fiber arrays \([45, 40, 47]\) which seems for us to be especially promising.
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