#### Chaotic capture of (dark) matter by binary systems

Guillaume Rollin<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Haag<sup>1</sup>, José Lages<sup>1</sup>, Dima Shepelyansky<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Equipe PhAs - Physique Théorique et Astrophysique Institut UTINAM - UMR CNRS 6213 Observatoire de Besançon Université de Franche-Comté, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Théorique - UMR CNRS 5152 Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France

- Dynamics and chaos in astronomy and physics, Luchon 2016 -

Papers :

J. L., D. Shepelyansky, Dark matter chaos in the Solar system, MNRAS Letters 430, L25-L29 (2013) G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, Chaotic enhancement of dark matter density in binary systems, A&A 576, A40 (2015) P. Haag, G. Rollin, J. L., Symplectic map description of Halley's comet dynamics, Physics Letters A 379 (2015) 1017-1022



#### (Dark) matter capture - Three-body problem



Possible DMP capture (or comet capture) due to Jupiter and Sun rotations around the SS barycenter.



 $m_{\rm DMP} \ll m_{2} \ll m_{\odot}$ 



Newton's equations

$$m_{\text{DMP}} \ll m_{2} \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{ km. s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$



Newton's equations

$$m_{\rm DMP} \ll m_{2_{
m H}} \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{km.s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$

Energy change after a passage at perihelion (wide encounter)

$$F \sim \frac{m_{\gamma_{+}}}{m_{\odot}} \left\| \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\gamma_{+}} \right\|^{2} \simeq 10^{-3}$$



Newton's equations

$$m_{\text{DMP}} \ll m_2 \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{km.s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$

Energy change after a passage at perihelion (wide encounter)

$$F \sim \frac{m_{\gamma_{\pm}}}{m_{\odot}} \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\gamma_{\pm}}\|^2 \simeq 10^{-3}$$

Assuming a Maxwellian distribution of Galactic DMP velocities

$$f(v)dv \sim v^2 \exp\left(-3v^2/2u^2\right) dv$$

with  $u \simeq 220$  km.s<sup>-1</sup>  $\sim 17$  (mean DMP velocity)



Newton's equations

$$m_{\rm DMP} \ll m_{2_{\rm H}} \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{km.s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$

Energy change after a passage at perihelion (wide encounter)

$$F \sim \frac{m_{\gamma_{\pm}}}{m_{\odot}} \left\| \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\gamma_{\pm}} \right\|^2 \simeq 10^{-3}$$

Assuming a Maxwellian distribution of Galactic DMP velocities

$$f(v)dv \sim v^2 \exp\left(-3v^2/2u^2\right) dv$$

with  $u \simeq 220$  km.s<sup>-1</sup>  $\sim 17$  (mean DMP velocity)

## As $F \ll u^2,$ not many candidates for capture among Galactic DMPs

Most of the capturable DMPs have close to parabolic approaching trajectories ( $E \sim 0$ )

Direct simulation of Newton's equations is difficult : very elongated ellipses, not many particles can be simulated, CPU time consuming (Peter 2009)



#### Kepler map



*x* : Jupiter's phase when particle at pericenter ( $x = \varphi/2\pi \mod 1$ ) *w* : particle energy at apocenter ( $w = -2E/m_{\text{DMP}}$ )

#### Symplectic Kepler map

$$\bar{w} = w + F(x) = w + W \sin(2\pi x)$$
  
 $\bar{x} = x + \bar{w}^{-3/2}$ 

 $\leftarrow \text{ energy change after a kick} \\ \leftarrow \text{ third Kepler's law}$ 

A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A

Map already used in the study of :

- Cometary clouds in Solar systems (Petrosky 1986)
- Chaotic dynamics of Halley's comet (Chirikov & Vecheslavov 1986)
- Microwave ionization of hydrogen atoms (see e.g. Shepelyansky, scholarpedia)

Advantage : if the kick function F(x) is known the dynamics of a huge number of particles can simulated.

Let's make a digression ...



#### Halley map - Cometary case



Dynamics and chaos in astronomy and physics, Luchon, Sept. 22th 2016



Rollin, Haag, J. L., Phys. Lett. A 379 (2015) 1017-1022

Our calculations match direct observation data and previous numerical data (Yeomans & Kiang 1981)

$$F(x_1,\ldots,x_8)\simeq\sum_{i=1}^8F_i(x_i)$$

$$F_i(x_i) = -2\mu_i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nabla \left( \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i}{r^3} - \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i\|} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} \, dt$$

Two main contributions

- Direct planetary Keplerian potential
- Rotating gravitational dipole potential due to the Sun movement around Solar System barycenter

Image: Image:

ъ

#### Halley map – Cometary case

Renormalized kick function

 $f_i(x_i) = F_i(x_i) / v_i^2 / \mu_i$ 



### Halley map - Dynamical chaos



Symplectic Halley map

$$\bar{x} = x + \bar{w}^{-3/2}$$

$$\bar{w} = w + F(x)$$

Chaotic dynamics of 1P/Halley

"Lifetime"  $\sim 10^7$  years



Let's come back to (dark) matter ...



#### Dark matter capture - Dark map

#### Kick function determination

We determine numerically the kick function for any parabolic orbit  $(q, i, \omega)$ 

$$F(x) = F_{q,i,\omega}(x)$$

By nonlinear fit we obtain analytical functions.



a : Halley's comet b :  $q = 1.5, \omega = 0.7, i = 0$ c :  $q = 0.5, \omega = 0., i = \pi/2$ 



#### Dark matter capture - Dark map



#### Kick function determination

We determine numerically the kick function for any parabolic orbit  $(q,i,\omega)$ 

$$F(x) = F_{q,i,\omega}(x)$$

By nonlinear fit we obtain analytical functions.

Chance to be captured with a given energy ( $w < 0 \leftrightarrow E > 0$ )

 $h_{q,i,\omega}(w)$ 



#### Dark matter - Capture cross section



 $\sigma/\sigma_p\simeq \pi \frac{m_\odot}{m_{2\mu}}\frac{w_{cap}}{|w|} \text{ in agreement with Khriplovich & Shepelyansky 2009}$ 

- Predominance of wide encounters as suggested by Peter 2009
- Very small contribution from close encounters invalidating previous numerical results (Gould & Alam 2001 and Lundberg & Edsjö 2004)

#### Dark map - Dark matter capture

Simulation of the (isotropic) injection, the capture and the escape of DMPs during the whole lifetime of the Solar system.

Injection of  $N_{tot} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{14}$  DMPs with energy |w| in the range  $[0, \infty]$  with  $N_H = 4 \times 10^9$  DMPs in the Halley's comet energy interval  $[0, w_H]$ .



Equilibrium reached after a time  $t_d \sim 10^7$ yr similar to the diffusive escape time scale of the Halley's comet (Chirikov & Vecheslavov 1989)  $\longrightarrow$  Equilibrium energy distribution  $\rho(w)$ 

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

The dynamics of dark matter particles in the Solar system is essentially chaotic

#### Back to real space - Density distribution of captured DMPs





► The profile of the radial density  $\rho(r) \propto dN/dr$  is similar to those observed for galaxies where DMP mass is dominant. Indeed  $\rho(r)$  is almost flat (increases slowly) right after Jupiter orbit (r = 1)  $\longrightarrow$  according to virial theorem the circular velocity of visible matter is consequently constant as observed e.g. in Rubin 1980 Virial theorem :  $v_m^2 \sim \int_0^r dr' \rho(r')/r \sim \rho(r) \sim r^2 (\rho(r)/r^2) \lim_{k \to \infty} r^2 r^{-1.53} \sim r^{1/2}$ 

• • • • • • • • • •

Ergodicity along radial dynamics :  $d\mu \sim dN \sim \rho(r)dr \sim dt \sim dr/v_r \sim r^{1/2}dr$ Consequently,  $v_m \propto r^{0.25}$  (Dark map) to compare to  $v_m \propto r^{0.35}$  (Rubin 1980)

### Back to real space - Density distribution of captured DMPs



< □ > < 同 >

'U FC

#### Surface density

 $ho_s(z,R) \propto dN/dzdR$  where  $R=\sqrt{x^2+y^2}$ 

#### Volume density

 $\rho_v(x, y, z) \propto dN/dxdydz$ 



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{yr}$  is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{g} t_{S} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{g} t_{S} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{4} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{Q}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

$$M_{AC} \approx \eta_{AC} M_{tot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} M_{\odot}$$
 within  $r < 0.5$  distance<sub>Sun- $\alpha$</sub>  Centauri  $M_{100au} \approx \eta_{100au} M_{tot} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-17} M_{\odot}$  within  $r < 100$ au

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm F} \approx \eta_{\rm F} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {\rm g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{2} \approx \eta_{4} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \text{g}$$



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{yr}$  is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{gts} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{gts} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{\uparrow_{\pm}} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\Box}$$

At time  $t_S$  the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

$$\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC} \approx \eta_{AC} M_{tot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 0.5 \mbox{ distance}_{Sun-\alpha} \mbox{Centauri} \\ M_{100au} \approx \eta_{100au} M_{tot} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-17} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 100 \mbox{au} \end{array}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm c} \approx \eta_{\rm c} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {
m g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{\gamma_{\pm}} \approx \eta_{\gamma_{\pm}} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \mathrm{g}$$

The average volume density of captured dark matter inside the Jupiter orbit sphere is

$$\rho_{\gamma_{+}} = \frac{3M_{\gamma_{+}}}{4\pi r_{\gamma_{+}}^3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{g/cm^3} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \rho_g \ll \rho_g \text{ (Galactic DMP density)}$$

## Globally, not much dark matter captured by the Solar system, but ...



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9$  yr is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_g t_S \int_0^\infty dv \, v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_g t_S G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{\hat{\mathbf{r}}} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

 $\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC}\approx\eta_{AC}M_{\textit{iot}}\approx2\times10^{-15}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<0.5\mbox{ distance}_{\text{Sun-}\alpha\text{Centauri}}\\ M_{100au}\approx\eta_{100au}M_{\textit{iot}}\approx1.3\times10^{-17}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<100au \end{array}$ 

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm c} \approx \eta_{\rm c} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {
m g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{\gamma_{+}} \approx \eta_{\gamma_{+}} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \mathrm{g}$$

The average volume density of captured dark matter inside the Jupiter orbit sphere is

$$\rho_{\gamma_{+}} = \frac{3M_{\gamma_{+}}}{4\pi r_{\gamma_{+}}^3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{g/cm^3} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \rho_g \ll \rho_g \text{ (Galactic DMP density)}$$

## Globally, not much dark matter captured by the Solar system, but ...

Let's compare to the capturable DMP density  

$$\rho_{gH} = \rho_g \int_0^{\sqrt{w_H}} dv \, v f(v) \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-32} \text{g/cm}^3$$

Huge chaotic enhancement  $\zeta = \rho_{\uparrow_{+}}/\rho_{eH} \approx 4 \times 10^3$  of the density of actually capturable DMPs.

Dynamics and chaos in astronomy and physics, Luchon, Sept. 22th 2016

The long range interaction capture mechanism is very efficient for binary systems (1+2) with  $m_1 \gg m_2$ 



 $w_{cap} \sim w_{H} \sim 0.005$ u=220km/s



G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, A&A 576, A40 (2015)





G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, A&A 576, A40 (2015)





G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, A&A 576, A40 (2015)





U FC

(日)

Э

G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, A&A 576, A40 (2015)



A B > A B > A
 B >

ъ

590

G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, A&A 576, A40 (2015)

# Thank You!

