АКАДЕМИЯ НАУК СССР Сибирское отделение ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНОЙ ФИЗИКИ Новосибирск 90, СССР



USSR ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
Siberian Division
INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS
Novosibirsk 90, USSR

Dear Novmen,

We've had a big misfortune, our leader teacher and friend Andrey Mikhaylovich Budker has died on July 4 of a sudden heart attack...

I am planning, indeed, to visit the USA next year for 2 or 3 months to work together with Joe Ford in Atlanta I tell you later on as to my schedule Would be happy to meet you somewhere and to discuss the stochasticity and around. I am involved now, together with Joe Ford and Girelio Casati of Milano University, outo studies of the quantum stochasticity, that is The stochastic behavior in quantum degnamical systems. We are studying presently a quantum pendiction under the influence of external project perturbation in the form of a series of short kicks. Although we serve a diffusion in cuergy to a naive expectation based on the classical theory of stochasticity

the behavior of a quantum system turns out to be much more sophisticated. In particular, we have failed to find any mixing property in the quantum system.

With my warment regards to youe, Charlotte and the children whom the latter I know only by a picture you have sent me once.

Boris

8/VIII 77.

the form of a series of short Kicks. Although we observe a diffusion in energy in accordance to the naive expectation based on the classical stocharticity theory the lecharior of a quantum système is much more sophi-sticated. In particular, we have failed to find any mixing property in the quantum System. The Wife my warmert regards to you, Charlotte and the childlen whom the latter I know only ly a picture you have sent me once.

Dr. N. J. Zaliersky Moth. - Engineering Depts University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Therry Christmas and Happy new year

> Charlotte + norman Stacia, Erica, Alex

y James Cummings Vail. The electroagnetic telegraph was first publicly
(emonstrated here in 1838 by Samuel F.
Monse and Alfred Vail, the artist's
(ather. The Factory, a National Historic
andmark, is part of The Speedwell Villag
in Morristown, New Jersey.









Dear Boris New Year I am just about getting used to university of Pittoburgh Youra life. This past year I spont visiting Princeton Inst-I to to and University (WITA See previous Mortin Kruskall. I return correspondence! to Pitts burgh next month Problems of and my family will quantum necleurs more here in the summer Transition to the The lost time I Saw Ja Ford he told me you was still planning to visit the U.S.A. I type we will all have a chance to see each other and reminisce. There 15 soo much to tuly about. Seasons Greetings and best wicks for the

Dear Borya -

It seems like a very long time since we have been in touch, doesn't it? But we often think and talk about you, and Norman hears about you from Joe Ford.

As you know, Norman has been professor at the University of Pittsburgh for the past two years. The rest of us have not yet moved. Norman was home with us for the past few months. Last spring he spent two months at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton. Then, for the summer, he worked at Exxon. And during the fall term he was at Princeton University, working with Martin Kruskal. Now he is getting ready to go back to Pittsburgh in January. Probably we will all move there next spring, after [Alex graduates from junior high school.

How are you and your family this year? Your daughter must be working hard at the University. And what about your dear aunt? I have just sent a note to your cousins Nadya and Mitya. And please remember us to your other cousins Kyril and Inge.

Our family is growing older all too quickly. Stacia is now 18 and is in her first year at Harvard University, our best college. She graduated from high school first in her class. This year she is taking a course in Computer Programming, but she is not going to be a mathematician. She still likes to write poetry and she likes literary analysis and languages. She doesn't know yet what she will major in. You once said you would like to see Stacia's poetry, and I will try to remember to enclose one or two of her best poems from last year. Last year, she and two friends wrote a play, theater of the absurd. It was produced by the high school and was very successful. It was very funny.

Detile Notable

Erica is 15 and is a good student, too, but she also has a good voice, and she wants to be a singer. She has a lyric sp soprano voice, very well suited to operetta. I bet she could learn a lot from your wife! (I'm so sorry we never had the pleasure of hearing her sing.) Now Erica sings Gilbert & Sullivan, light opera arias, Italian and French art songs, and even folk songs. But she really prefers musical comedy and wants to perform on Broadway!

Alexander just turned 13, and - guess what! - last year he took up skiing and he loves it. He seems to be good at it and it is his favorite sport, althogugh he likes all sports. He's also good at running.

As for me, I am teaching English to foreign students at a college in New Jersey, and I am also trying to finish my book about immigrants. My co-author and I have interviewed over 100 immigrants so far, and now we are interviewing a few of our famous immigrants. We expect to finish by next spring. It has been very interesting working on this book.

We have absolutely no plans to travel in the near future, not even to Europe. Of course, we would very much enjoy visiting you in your country again, but we are now spending all our extra money on Stacia's college tuition. But what about you? Will you come to the United States soon? Our home is always open to you, so whenever you do make this trip, you must be sure to include enough time to stay with us for a while. It would be wonderful to finally be able to repay some of the warm hospitality you showed to us on our two trips to Moscow.

I am enclosing a beautiful postcard I have been saving for you for almost a year, from the wonderful Tutenkamen exhibit that toured the some of our cities. Also, there is a photograph I don't think I ever sent to you, of our last visit together in Moscow; and some hite!

nice stamps I think you will like.

We wish all of you a fantastic 1978, full of all the things you want. We hope it will include much health, happiness, success, good times, excitement, and JOY!

Affectionately,

Charlotte Maproffa

The Final Hour --Ma'alot Massacre 5/15/74

To know the final hour has come . the gun, pointing straight at you. eyes watering with silent tears. In your ears the whisper of a labored desert wind floats by. and beyond the point of the gun. green trees and brown earth can be seen outside the window. In your hands you feel the sweat of fear and heat, and tiny grains of sand have somehow found their way into your palm, and you feel good to feel the earth of you: land beside you. Your terror mounts as time passes, the silent tears shining in your eyes but not falling.

And then a sound of thunder is heard, and a lead pellet breaks a window and falls to the ground inside. Instant anger, and the gun is taken from you to fire out the window at the enemy - your friends. In your mind, a feeling of relief comes quick and strong, but in your heart you know that this means greater danger for you and your captured friends. You see nothing now; then the sound of your friends' screams penetrates the wall of fear you have built and you return to the reality of pain and blood which lives and dies around you. And then the end of the gun is back, pointing towards you, and unbidden the silent tears fall. Your heart cries for rescue to come and save you, but you know it cannot be so.

And you pray to your God, and pray for your country and your loved ones, hoping they will survive better than you have done. And your heart dies before the bullet comes, and the screams you hear become your own and your blood bathes the floor and thus have you died, the grains of sand still clutched within your hands.

by Stacia Zabusky

IMAGES

images fly-by-nightly in my dreaming world, elusive to be tuned towards outer world's hysteria -they fade unbelievably slipping like water through cupped hands, leaving only shine glistening in the light of my stare so brightly in the sun's eye: leaving only shine and fingers cooled by water, flowing like elusive girls never to be caught by outstretched arms which reach and reach and reach - my feet slow but still are never caught by straining fingertips . . . in my dreams smile and there is love at least fly-by-nightly.

Stacia Zabusky

BROTHER

he does sleep, you know, however little dozes with his eyes open, I think,
his bed seems untouched mornings at night, it could be empty;
except I've gone in to kiss him,
and he's been there.
but stars will shine too brightly for darkness,
and wind will scream too loudly for quiet restlessness is to be understood even forgiven
but know: I have kissed him in his dreams.

Stacia Zabusky

5

Hurrah!

the new year's nymph got drunk with Arthur Fiedler on champagne, no less there was wild dancing, but no orgy she went unnoticed at the party. poor thing. out of place in Grecian draperies. this nymph of the new year couldn't imitate trees or grasses, or us -Arthur didn't mind complimented her with music and served her champagne. she wasn't there at the start came in at the countdown you know: suddenly, she was there, materializing - as they say - from thin air.

Stacia Zabusky

the tree stood before her-large, it reached to the ceiling,
green bfanches stretched to all sides-colored bulbs hung from the limbs-and strings of lights adorned the tree;

strings of light which flashed on and off in a haphazard pattern-lights of blue and green and red--and the star on the top sparkled silver--

the house was asleep--and she stood alone in the dark room, aware of the quiet, the dreaming bodies and the tree--and she shivered in her nightdress, for the air was cold--

finally, she lifted her arms and opened them wide, as wide as they would go--and she took one step towards the tree, bare feet trembling on the cold floor--

her nightdress flowed around her ankles, and she sighed--and took another step, until the outermost needles of the tree brushed her face--

and she trembled, cold and afraid--and she took another step-the gifts beneath her feet crinkled as the paper tore, and the ribbons were ripped away--

and her arms touched the branches, and she embraced the tree-the needles digging into her flesh, the fragile balls breaking and crashing to the floor

in little splinters, which her feet encountered--and blood flowed over her white feet--and then she began to feel the heat of the lights burning her young body-- and she cried out in pain, but pressed closer, as needles stung and heat burned her arms and her face--and her feet were cut from shattered ornaments--

the tree rocked as she held it, and she felt it quiver--and as if in a dream, the tree fell. slowly, gently, and she clutched the branches tighter--

the tree fell, Rnocking dishes off shelves and breaking china and glass--the lights shattered beneath her body, and she lay on top of the tree, feeling the glass cut her skin,

feeling the cold air, and the blood trickling in narrow red paths -and the house awoke and came running to find her lying atop the fallen tree --

and they pulled her up, and saw the blood, and felt the coldness of her body, and saw the tears glistening in her eyes--but they didn't understand why--

and they bathed her with warm water and put her in a bed beneath layers of blankets--and there was no explaining--for there could be no understanding--

and the house slept againand she rose and went to
the tree and stood beside it,
where it lay on the ground, mangled
and broken--

its needles and branches crushed-its decorative clothing smashed into
tiny splinters of colored glass--and
she wept, as she stood with her
arms opened wide.

Stacia Zabusky

This letter has not passed!

Dear Charlotte and Norman,

Many thanks for your warm letters and postcards, beautiful pictures and stamps, and especially for Stacia's poetry which I am really enjoying. I especially like her 'Brother' (and I like her brother, too, and send him my best wishes, particularly, in (mountain?) skiing!) and 'Images', although I still haven't got accustomed to blank verses (too old, perhaps). But I am somewhat puzzled by her 'The Final Hour' - it seems to be a wrong theme for a callow girl... (don't take it offensive, please). If Stacia does like languages and poetry she could try the Russian to feel our classical poetry.

I have a suggestion as to Erica's singing. Some years ago we have got acquainted here with an American family, the Fooses. Prof. Richard Foose is a geologist, chairman at the department of geology in Amherst College (Amherst, Mass. 01002), not far from, I understand. And his wife, Mrs. Dorothy Foose, is a singer, and as far as I remember she did sing on Broadway! They spent much time in our home, and Dottie discussed a lot with Olya on singing, operetta and around. Try to contact her in our name, and extend to them our best wishes, please. Their home address: **Edo S.**Pleasant St.**, Amherst, Mass.** 01002.

Olya is now at the very end of her theater tour in a town, Saratov, on Volga river. It is, by the way, the native town of our famous writer and revolutionary of the last century N.G.Chrnyshevsky whose anniversary was celebrated last Monday around the country. Olya sang in his memorial home that day. Last year Olya began teaching vocal, and she is very enthusiastic about that. Galya has graduated this May from the University and she is to begin working in a month at here Institute of Cytology and Genetics.

My aunt in Moscow is quite healthy for her 93 year age! And my nephews and nieces-in-law (and not cousins, unfortunately) are also well.

Best wishes to you all,

Boris

28/1/1782.

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

OFFICE OF THE FOREIGN SECRETARY 2:01 CONSTITUTION AVENUE WASHINGTON D.C. 20418

March 22, 1983

Academician G. K. Skryabin Chief Scientific Secretary Academy of Sciences of the USSR Leninskiy prospekt 14 Moscow V-71, USSR

Dear Academician Skryabin:

The National Academy of Sciences proposes the following American for a visit of one and one half months in the USSR within the framework of the interacademy agreement on scientific exchange and cooperation. This visit should be attributed to 1983 quota under paragraph two.

Dr. Norman J. Zabusky, Professor of Applied Mathematics at the University of Pittsburgh, has been invited by Academician R. Z. Sagdeyev to participate in the Second International Conference on Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics scheduled to be held in Kiev, October 10-25, 1983. The NAS is pleased to respond positively to this invitation and therefore nominates Dr. Zabusky for a one-and-one-half months' visit starting October 8, 1983.

Following the conference in Kiev, Dr. Zabusky hopes to spend one week in Novosibirsk, two weeks in Moscow, and one week in Leningrad discussing with colleagues his research in computer simulations studies, synergetic use of computers, and vortex and contour dynamics. He has requested to visit a total of sixteen institutes in these four cities, and the NAS would appreciate your efforts to arrange these requested meetings. Dr. Zabusky plans to be accompanied for the entire time by his wife and twenty-four year old daughter, whose expenses he is prepared to meet.

The customary biographic and bibliographic material submitted by Dr. Zabusky is enclosed. I look forward to learning if this visit as proposed is acceptable to you.

Walter D. Rosenblith

Walter A. Rosenblith Foreign Secretary

enclosure



University of Pittsburgh

FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS

C. G. Cullen
J. P. Fink
C. A. Hall, Exec. Director
D. J. Hebert
P. R. Krishnajah

T. A. Porsching W. C. Rheinboldt W. C. Troy N. J. Zabusky

May 18, 1983

Dr. Boris Chirikov USSR Academy of Sci. Siberian Division Inst. of Nuclear Physics Novosibirsk 90, USSR

Dear Boris:

I am planning to visit the Soviet Union in October to participate in the Second International Conference on Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics.

I have also been selected by the U.S. National Academy of Sciences to be an Interacademy Exchange Visitor. (See the enclosed letter from Dr. W. A. Rosenblith to Academician Skryabin.) I have proposed a visit to four cities in the four weeks following the conference, as outlined on the enclosed sheet. I look forward to sharing scientific progress, ideas, and future direction with you and colleagues in your Institute and I would be grateful for any help on your part to make my visit to Novosibirsk a successful one. I have already written a similar letter to Roald Sagdeev and O. Ladyzhenskaya.

I will be accompanied by my wife Charlotte and our twenty-four year old daughter Stacia. We are all looking forward to renewing old acquaintances and making many new ones.

Sincerely yours,

Norman J. Zabusky

NJZ/daw

Enclosure

TELEPHONE: (412) 624-1447

Dear Norman,

I had learned on your visit to Novosibirsk even before I received your letter of May 18 - from the request of Moscow Academy. Now everything seems to be OK, so I look forward to see all of you here and in Kiev where I plan to come for a few days. In our Institute we would be interested in your talk on computational studies of nonlinear phenomena with some applications to plasma physics if possible. As you may have recognized already I personally don't use your term 'computational synergetics', and do prefer to speak, instead, just on numerical (computational) experiments which seems to me more natural. Do you know, by the way, that Dr. H. Haken from West Germany has 'stolen' this your term 'synergetics' for his own quite different purposes?

> Warmest regards to you and Charlotte. as well as to your children all of whome I now know either personally or via poetry!

3/V1831. Boris Chirikov

P.S. From your passionate review in J.Comp.Phys. (43(1981)195), I received some time ago, I understood (somewhat to my surprise) that you are still unaware of our different (opposite in a sense) approach to the FPU problem as a particular example of the dynamical stochasticity. If I knew that in Boulder I would educate you! Anyway, if you are still interested in the FPU history the reference is: Chirikov, Izrailev, Tayursky, Computer Phys. Comm. 5(1973)11. =

on can issue. ne right

Rews

physics community

Soviets expel Zabusky before lecture to 'refusenik' scientists

The surprising thing is that it had never happened before in the 25 years of bilateral exchanges between the US National Academy of Sciences and the USSR Academy of Science-even during periodic downturns in political relations between the two countries. The dismaying thing is that it happened when tensions between scientists are at their worst-even more vexing than in the period 1978-81 when Anatoly Shcharansky, Viktor Brailovsky and Andrei Sakharov were sent into "internal exile" or prison. The latest cause for concern is the expulsion of Norman J. Zabusky, a mathematical physicist at the University of Pittsburgh, who was booted out on 4 November apparently to prevent him from delivering a scientific lecture at a Saturday Even-ing Seminar of "refuseniks" (Soviet citizens whose applications for emigration visas have been turned down).

According to a State Department source, the science attaché at the US Embassy in Moscow was telephoned on 2 November by an official of the Soviet Academy who complained that Zabusky's conduct was "inconsistent with his status as a guest of the Academy." Zabusky would have to leave by noon Saturday, 5 November, said the Soviet official. If not, the official added ominously, the Soviet Academy could not guarantee his safety. When the attaché asked for specific reasons for expelling Zabusky, he was told the Soviet Academy could not provide an explanation and that the matter was "out of our control." Informed of the action against Zabusky, the US Academy's Foreign Secretary, Walter Rosenblith of MIT, sent a cable on 5 November to Georgy K. Skryabin, his counterpart at the Soviet Academy, asking for additional information. A month later, Skryabin replied, repeating the original one-sentence charge in essentially the same words.

Wholly traumatic.' Reached by telephone in Munich, where he is visiting the Max Planck Institut für Astrophysik before returning to Pittsburgh in January, Zabusky says his experience was "totally unexpected and wholly traumatic." From his point of view, "it was perfectly legal to attend the Saturday Evening Seminar with scientific



ZABUSKY

colleagues," he claims—though, of course, such meetings are outside official activities sanctioned by the Soviet Academy.

Zabusky applied to NAS last fall to attend the Second International Workshop on Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics, run by the Soviet Institute for Theoretical Physics in Kiev from 10 to 25 October. His application was approved in March without the customary interview because of his scientific distinction. Zabusky is a former head of plasma physics and computational physics research at Bell Telephone Laboratories from 1961 to 1975, when he joined the University of Pittsburgh. He is best known as co-discoverer (with Martin Kruskal of Princeton) of the peculiar properties of modern solitons-localized or solitary entities that behave like particles, propagating at a uniform speed in a fluid, without dispersing their energies or changing their shapes, even in collisions or interactions with other entities. For its part, however, the Soviet Union did not grant Zabusky a travel visa until September, by which time he, his wife and daughter were in Tokyo, on their way, by way of China, to the USSR.

NAS officials consider the long delay in obtaining a Soviet visa an indication of bureaucratic uncertainty about Zabusky. On an earlier visit to Moscow in 1980, he took part in a special congress on collective phenomena that refuseniks ran in Brailovsky's apartment. A few months later, Brailovsky, a respected computer scientist, who organized the weekly seminars, was arrested on charges of "defaming the Soviet state and public order," tried in 1½ days, with only his family and state-approved witnesses present in the courtroom, then sentenced to 5 years in exile within the Soviet Union.

In the past, the seminars, held on Sunday evenings under Brailovsky's leadership, attracted many prominent US scientists-among them, Kenneth Wilson of Cornell, Walter Gilbert of Harvard, James Langer of the University of California at Santa Barbara and Joel L. Lebowitz of Rutgers. In 1978. Arno Penzias of Bell Labs went directly from Stockholm after accepting the Nobel prize to a gathering of refusenik scientists in Brailovsky's apartment in Moscow. Discussions at the seminars frequently deal with aspects of theoretical physics because few participants work in research labs or institutes, having been sacked or demoted once they applied for emigration, and because even fewer have access to anything larger than pocket calculators. After Brailovsky's arrest, Soviet police prevented the seminars from taking place. The seminars resumed in the Moscow flat of Yakov L. Al'pert, an astrophysicist whose latest writings, The Near-Earth and Interplanetary Plasma, were recently published in the West (Cambridge University Press,

Upon arriving in Moscow in early October, Zabusky called Al'pert and was invited to the 8 October seminar, which concerned econometric modeling in industry. At the seminar, Zabusky agreed to lecture to the group on 5 November, once the Kiev conference was over.

Scientific warmth. Of the 80 Western scientists who were scheduled to attend the Kiev conference, only about half showed up. Six, including Zabusky, were from the US. One, Richard L. Morse of the University of Arizona, recalls that Soviet scientists seemed even warmer and friendlier than at previous meetings he has attended in the USSR. "They were very aware of

the shooting down of the South Korean passenger plane [on 1 September]," says Morse. "They were worried about the world political climate—even more now than they had been when Afghanistan was invaded. They weren't eager to talk about specific incidents, but they did voice their feelings about the need to continue scientific links, particularly now that both the US and USSR have virtually reduced exchanges to zero. They expressed concern about increasing impediments to scientific communications between both countries."

Zabusky has similar impressions. "There was an uneasy attitude that collaboration in science between our countries was in a deep freeze," he observes. "So, at Kiev there was a concerted effort to warm East-West relations in science." Besides delivering his paper, he participated in the opening and closing sessions. Zabusky hails the conference as "first-rate, especially in the theoretical realms of fluid dynamics, plasma physics and atmospheric physics. But there is little computational work going on in the Soviet Union in those fields-unlike the West. Compared with what our scientists have to work with, Soviet computers are still in a primitive stage."

Back in Moscow, he, his wife, Charlotte, and daughter, Stacia, were asked to dinner by Eugene Lifschitz, a collaborator of Lev Landau. But on 1 November, the day of the dinner, Lifschitz called to say they could not meet. This was Zabusky's first inkling that all was not well. The next day the US Embassy informed him he was being

expelled.

Why did it happen? "Did they need me as an example?" Zabusky asks. "I don't really know. Things are not the same in the Soviet Union. Even some of the best and most trusted scientists are forbidden to travel to the West. I don't think this has anything to do with science. It has to do with politics."

Political messages. Zabusky's expulsion, observes a State Department official, "sends a message to Western scientists to 'behave yourselves' in the Soviet Union." If any message is directed to refuseniks, he says, "it is that the campaign for greater discipline is intensifying in all walks of life under Yuri V. Andropov. It's hard to believe that refuseniks need any reminder of hat, however, considering the harrassment and repression they continue to endure."

The picture that emerges from the abusky case is a Soviet policy toward rejence that is a mixture of bureaucratical rigidity, distrust of scientists and lears of non-conformist behavior of any ort. Frank Press, president of the ational Academy of Sciences, has coken forcefully on the current state

of US-USSR scientific relations. Testifying at a Congressional hearing on scientific affairs and international security on 2 August, he acknowledged that "Our scientific contacts are withering. The causes are manifold, including the concern of our own government about technology transfer; a further desire by government to restrict cultural, educational and scientific contacts as a means of punishing the Soviets for their actions in Afghanistan and Po-

land; the continuing secretive nature of Soviet society and the bureaucratic impediments imposed by the Soviet government; the politicization of the Soviet process for selection of exchange scientists; and, finally, the abhorrence on our part of the abrogation of human rights of Soviet scientists. Each of these impediments can alone seriously endanger the sensitive thread of communication that exists today between our scientific communities."

Physicists sign appeal for nuclear freeze

By late November 15 000 physicists in 44 countries had signed the following appeal for a nuclear freeze:

We call for an agreement to halt the testing, production and deployment of nuclear weapons and nuclear weapons delivery systems. Meanwhile, no further nuclear weapons or delivery systems should be deployed anywhere.

Over half of the living winners of the Nobel prize in physics were among the

signers.

In mid-November groups of physicists presented the call to representatives of national governments and to international organizations. The presentations, like the circulation of the call, were conducted without any formal organization. On 18 November Philip W. Anderson (Princeton University), James W. Cronin (University of Chicago) and Robert Serber (Columbia University) met with Javier Pérez de Cuéllar, Secretary General of the United Nations, to present the call. (Sheldon Glashow of Harvard University was prevented by illness from participating.) Pérez de Cuéllar gave the group a sympathetic reception, according to Serber, and welcomed the appeal. He assured the physicists that he shares their goals and is doing all he can to bring about disarmament, Serber told us.

Presentations were also made to officials of the governments of Finland, France, Italy, Japan (to the prime minister), Spain and West Germany, according to Rolf Hagedorn (CERN), one of the initiators of the petition. He told us that receptions for the most part were polite but negative.

In the US, signers made attempts to present the petition to President Ronald Reagan, Vice President George Bush, the Office of Science and Technology Policy, House majority leader Thomas P. O'Neill (D-Mass.), and Senate majority leader Howard Baker (R-Tenn.), without any success at this writing. Hagedorn did not know of any attempts to present the call in the USSR, where over 750 physicists signed the statement.

The idea for the call arose during a conversation at CERN in the summer of 1982. Daniele Amati (CERN), Nina Byers (UCLA), Rolf Hagedorn (CERN), Jack Steinberger (CERN), Victor Weisskopf (MIT) and Christophe Wetterich (CERN) discussed what they could do about the nuclear arms race. They wrote the appeal and sent it to 120 well-known physicists asking for their endorsements. Almost 80 re-



Petition presented at UN. From left: Jan Martinsen (UN under-secretary-general for disarmament affairs), Philip Anderson (Princeton), Javier Pérez de Cuéllar (UN secretary-general), Robert Serber (Columbia), Sidney Katz (coordinator of the presentation from the Center for Defense Information) and James Cronin (University of Chicago).

sponded then fou tries an copies of tures of that tin has proinformaeach of In a

> the pet the nuc The inc invite warnin may le letter (appeal sicists 1 among appeal world. bounda contrib race. approp appeal instrur clear V involve develor

Cambr nucle

On 8 N

Massac that wo weapor percent measu thirds took pa was on accord nation center the org us tha commu selves where posed (sures ! ments commu are leg those passed city c would disrup ties. The Drape guidan

MX m

1800 p

in Ca

O'Con

admin

million

My Dear Norman, l've just read total in Physics Today about your adventures in Moscow. l regret on what happened. Let, I must confess a land has been very much surprised by your "under ground"
hate
activity. If you would, mentroned it
to me in Kier I would strongly
just put this. to be a guest

land sorry, Norman, but I should to say a fleat it is as a bad manner

1) (not good) to be a guest and to act against hosts whether you like them or not. Hoping you will not take my critiregards. to Charlotte and the children. Soucerely, Boris 6/11 842. Milano



University of Pittsburgh

FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS

G. D. Byrne C. G. Cullen J. P. Fink C. A. Hall, Exec. Director D. J. Hebert

P. R. Krishnaiah T. A. Porsching W. C. Rheinboldt W. C. Troy N. J. Zabusky

March 5, 1984

Dear Boris,

I was very pleasantly surprised to receive a letter from from Milano. I was happy to see that you managed to make a visit to a good university outside the USSR. Most important, I appreciated your act of friendship in offering me your opinion of what you read in Physics Today. I do accept your remarks in a constructive sense, although I disagree with you, and I hope that our disagreement will not in any way affect our scientific relationship, our friendship, and the relationships of our families that began in 1966.

You and I come from different societies and we have different cultural and sociological perspectives, as well as access to different kinds of information. I believe this has much to do with what we perceive as the truth and what we consider proper actions and moral imperatives. However, I do want to make it perfectly clear that I did not engage in any "underground" (as you termed it) activities while I was a guest of the Soviet Academy of Science.

When I was accepted by the Soviet Academy to exchange scientific information, I vowed to spend as much of each day as possible discussing science. So in Kiev, I not only gave two lectures, but also held many conversations and discussions in small groups during the midday meal and after the evening meal. In Leningrad and Moscow I did the same. In fact, I turned down offers for sightseeing, because, as I told Yascha Sinai, my host, I was in the USSR mainly to interact with scientists and that this was more important to me than seeing the sights.

Furthermore, in all my interactions, I was completely open and generous in offering everything within my level of experience. I know that the Soviet Union lags behind the United States in the application of large-scale computation to problems in nonlinear science, and yet I was willing to share as much information as I had. So, according to my perception of this situation, I was conducting myself in a proper scientific manner, and I spent almost all of my time in official exchange activities under the auspices of your Academy, my hosts. And I did not participate in any illegal activities during my stay in the USSR. (I believe that visits to "Refusenik" scientists are not illegal according to Soviet law.)

In any case, I am happy that you took the opportunity to write and express your feelings. The important point is that I did not deal with any subversive, dissident, or anti-Soviet "underground" elements. I planned only to visit on my own time (Saturday evening) with free Soviet citizens and scientists (many world famous) who are temporarily displaced from the active pursuit of their profession. After all, if you had a scientific colleague who was ill and confined to his home, would you not help him out by visiting him and discussing with him scientific topics?

Please send my warmest regards to Shelepiansky, Izrailev, Kuznetsov, Buchelnikova, our colleagues in Moscow and Leningrad, and, of course, your dear family.

Sincerely,

АКАДЕМИЯ НАУК СССР Сибирское отделение ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНОЙ ФИЗИКИ Новосибирск 90, СССР



USSR ACADEMY OF SCIENCES Siberian Division INSTITUTE OF NUCLEAR PHYSICS Novosibirsk 90, USSR

Professor Norman J.Zabusky Mathematics Dept. University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Penna. 15260 USA

Dear Norman,

Many thanks for a reprint of your paper in Physics Today with fascinating pictures! Such a beautiful graphics certainly makes numerical studies much more convincing. I have only one historical comment: the integrability of Toda lattice has been discovered computationally by Joe Ford and coworkers (Prog. Ther. Phys. 50 (1973) 1547).

Best regards to you and Charlotte,

16/ IV 857.

Boris

Boris Chirikov