Transmission phase of a quantum dot and statistical fluctuations of partial-width amplitudes

Rodolfo A. Jalabert, Guillaume Weick, Hans A. Weidenmüller Dietmar Weinmann

Rafael A. Molina, Philippe Jacquod

Luchon Superbagnères, March 2015







《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》

| Experiments | Parity rule | Mean wave-function correlations | Fluctuations | Conclusions o |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Transmi | ssion pha | se | | |

Quantum scatterer connected to monochannel leads

$$e^{ikx} \xrightarrow{t e^{ikx}} t e^{ikx}$$

$$G = \frac{l}{V} = \frac{2e^2}{h}|t|^2$$
Transmission amplitude
$$t = |t|e^{i\alpha}$$

 α transmission phase

Experiments Parity rule Mean wave-function correlations Fluctuations Conclusions o

AB interferometer containing a quantum dot



Schuster et al., Nature '97

 \sim 200 electrons



continuous phase evolution in resonances (Friedel sum rule) $\propto \delta \alpha$ abrupt drops of π in valleys

subsequent peaks in phase

nac

| Experiments | Parity rule | Mean wave-function correlations | Fluctuations | Conclusions |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| 0• | | | | |
| | | | | |

Crossover mesoscopic \leftrightarrow universal



Avinum-Kalish et al., Nature '05



"Mesoscopic": $N \lesssim 10$; irregular phase evolution



"Universal": N > 14; subsequent peaks in phase

500



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

| 00 | 000 | 0000 | 0000 | |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Experiments | Parity rule | Mean wave-function correlations | Fluctuations | Conclusions |

Continuous evolution of t



| Experiments | Parity rule oo● | Mean wave-function correlations | Fluctuations | Conclusions o |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Parity ru | le | | | |



$$D_n = \gamma_n^l \gamma_n^r \gamma_{n+1}^l \gamma_{n+1}^r$$
$$\operatorname{sgn}(\gamma_n^l \gamma_n^r) = \pm \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma_{n+1}^l \gamma_{n+1}^r) \to D_n \gtrless 0$$

 $D_n < 0$ \rightarrow no transmission zero no phase lapse $D_n > 0$ $\rightarrow |t| = 0$ phase lapse

[Lee PRL '99; Taniguchi & Büttiker PRB '99, Levy-Yeyati & Büttiker PRB '00, Aharony et al. PRB '02]

Disordered dots: $\mathcal{P}(D_n < 0) = 1/2 \quad \rightsquigarrow$ irregular phase evolution Experiment: $\mathcal{P}(D_n < 0) = 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow$ correlations between γ_n and γ_{n+1} ?



Wave-function correlations in chaotic dots

D



$$\mathcal{L}_n^{\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{r})} \propto \int_0^W \mathrm{d} y \, \Phi_{\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{r})}(y) \, \psi_n(x^{\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{r})}, y) \sim \psi_n(x^{\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{r})}, 0)$$

$$egin{aligned} & & p_n = \gamma_n^{\mathrm{l}} \gamma_n^{\mathrm{r}} \gamma_{n+1}^{\mathrm{l}} \gamma_{n+1}^{\mathrm{r}} \ & = \psi_n(x^{\mathrm{l}}, 0) \psi_n(x^{\mathrm{r}}, 0) \psi_{n+1}(x^{\mathrm{l}}, 0) \psi_{n+1}(x^{\mathrm{r}}, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Random wave model: [M.V. Berry, J. Phys. A '77]

$$\psi_n^{\text{RWM}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos[\mathbf{k}_j \mathbf{r} + \delta_j]$$

random δ_j $E_n = \frac{\hbar^2 k_n^2}{2m} \rightsquigarrow$ randomly oriented \mathbf{k}_j with $|\mathbf{k}_j| = k_n$

Correlations over a distance $L = x^r - x^l$

$$\langle \psi_n(\mathbf{r})\psi_n(\mathbf{r}')\rangle \simeq \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} J_0(k|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) \qquad \rightsquigarrow \langle D_n\rangle \sim J_0(k_nL)J_0(k_{n+1}L)$$



Average-based probability of having no phase lapse ($\langle D_n \rangle < 0$)

$$\mathcal{P}(\langle D_n
angle < 0) \sim rac{1}{kL}$$

Tendency towards the universal regime at large *kL* R.A. Molina, R.A. Jalabert, DW, Ph. Jacquod, PRL **108**, 076803 (2012)



 $\operatorname{Re}[t]$

▲□▶▲@▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@





"universal" regime at very large kL

kL

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Conclusions were drawn from the sign of the average

$$\langle D_n \rangle = \langle \gamma_n^{\rm l} \gamma_n^{\rm r} \gamma_{n+1}^{\rm l} \gamma_{n+1}^{\rm r} \rangle$$

and assuming narrow leads

 $\gamma_n^{l/r} \sim \psi_n(\mathbf{x}^{l/r}, \mathbf{0})$

Questions:

- What happens in the case of wider leads?
- Do statistical fluctuations of the $\gamma_n^{1/r}$ change the results?

| Experiments | Parity rule | Mean wave-function correlations | Fluctuations | Conclusions |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | | 0000 | |
| | | | | |

Gaussian fluctuations of the partial-width amplitudes

Dots with chaotic classical dynamics \rightsquigarrow Gaussian distribution of ψ_n [Voros '76, Berry '77, Srednicki '96]

$$\gamma_n^{\mathrm{l(r)}} \propto \int_0^W \mathrm{d} y \, \Phi_{\mathrm{l(r)}}(y) \, \psi_n(x^{\mathrm{l(r)}}, y)$$

 \rightarrow Gaussian distribution of the PWAs $\gamma_n^{l/r}$ with joint density

$$p(\gamma_n^{\rm l},\gamma_n^{\rm r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_n^2\sqrt{1-\rho_n^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\gamma_n^{\rm l})^2 + (\gamma_n^{\rm r})^2 - 2\rho_n\gamma_n^{\rm l}\gamma_n^{\rm r}}{2\sigma_n^2(1-\rho_n^2)}\right)$$

Variance and correlator with LR symmetry:

$$\sigma_n^2 = \langle \gamma_n^{\rm l} \gamma_n^{\rm l} \rangle = \langle \gamma_n^{\rm r} \gamma_n^{\rm r} \rangle \qquad \qquad \rho_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \langle \gamma_n^{\rm l} \gamma_n^{\rm r} \rangle$$

~ Probability for positive parity

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma_n^{\rm l}\gamma_n^{\rm r}>0)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi}\arcsin\left(\rho_n\right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ → □ ● のへで



 $k_n L$

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</td>



Numerics for $\mathcal{P}(D_n < 0)$ averaging over 14 cavities



Blue: $\mathcal{P}(D_n < 0)$; smoothing k_n interval of δ/L with $\delta = \pi/4$ and π Red: from the statistical model; same smoothing

| Experiments | Parity rule | Mean wave-function correlations | Fluctuations | Conclusions • |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Conclusio | ns | | | |

- Wave-function correlations: probability for non-universal evolution ~ 1/kL in chaotic dots
 → Tendency towards universal behavior at large N
- Gaussian fluctuations of partial-width amplitudes
 Reduced tendency towards universal behavior

Outlook: More realistic dot models? Beyond Gaussian fluctuations? Correlations $n \leftrightarrow n + 1$?

R.A. Molina *et al.*, PRL **108**, 076803 (2012); PRB **88**, 045419 (2013) R.A. Jalabert *et al.*, PRE **89**, 052911 (2014)