

Recommendation systems on Nomao data

Bálint Daróczy MTA SZTAKI, Ilab

joint work with András Benczúr and Róbert Pálovics



Rating vs. binary matrix Sparsity: <1% of known values Overfitting

Singular Value Decomposition

dense representation no regularization

Stochastic Gradient Descent

sparse representation vs. conjugate (ALS) regularization

Both optimize RMSE

Evaluation?

User/Movie	Napoleon Dynamite	Monster Inc.	Cindarella	Life on Earth
David	1	?	?	3
Dora	5	3	5	5
Peter	?	4	3	?

User/Movie	Napoleon Dynamite	Monster Inc.	Cindarella	Life on Earth
David	1	0	0	1
Dora	1	1	1	1
Peter	0	1	1	0

User/Movie	Napoleon Dynamite	Monster Inc.	Cindarella	Life on Earth
David	1			1
Dora	1	1	1	1
Peter		1	1	





R: the original (<1% known) rating matrix

In comparison to SVD, the SGD factors are not ranked Ranked factors: iterative SGD optimize only on a single factor at a time









The first 4 factors mapped over France



The first 5 factors mapped over France

Singular Value Decomposition

Stochastic Gradient Descent not ranked!



képlet





Recommend locations near to already visited places vs. **Expand/modify the training set** or regularization

Expansion via locality

- SVD vs. SGD
- Binary vs. Rating matrix
- identifying neighbors: k-nearest vs. radius , travel time?
- number of neighbors (n)?

Let be E the set of known ratings and N_j the neighbors of the location j, than we can modify the training set as follows. For all (u,i)

 $\hat{r}_{u,i} = \begin{cases} r_{u,i} & \text{if } (u,i) \in \mathbf{E} \\ f(R_u, N_{u,i}) & \text{if } (u,i) \notin \mathbf{E} \text{ and } \exists j \text{ with } (u,j) \in \mathbf{E} \text{ and } i \in N_j \\ 0 \text{ or don't care } & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

where f is function of $R_{u'}$ the set of known ratings by user "u" and $N_{u,i'}$ the set locations visited by "u" where "i" is a place of their neighbrhood.

MTA SZTAKI

Model 1: expand the list of locations per user with the neighbors of visited places

a) learn the ratings

$$f(R_u, N_{u,i}) = rac{1}{|N_{u,i}|} \sum_{j \in N_{u,i}} r_{u,j}$$
 or a constant

 $f(R_u, N_{u,i}) = c$

b) learn the occurrence

$$f(R_u, N_{u,i}) = 1$$

Model 2: adaptive distance based expansion, smoothed with local density a) learn the ratings

$$f(R_u, N_{u,i}) = \frac{1}{|N_{u,i}|} \sum_{j \in N_{u,i}} \hat{r}_{u,j} e^{-\frac{d_{L2}(i,j)}{\hat{d}_{L2}(j)}}$$

b) learn the occurrence

$$f(R_u, N_{u,i}) = e^{-\frac{d_{L2}(i,j)}{\hat{d}_{L2}(j)}}$$





Effect of "n"

 \approx



n=1



n=20



Performance measures

RMSE:
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{(u,i)} (r_{u,i} - r_{u,i}^*)^2}$$

 $RMSE_{sparse} = \sqrt{\sum_{(u,i) \in E} (r_{u,i} - r_{u,i}^*)^2}$

Recall @ K: number of hits/number of relevant items

$$Recall(K) = \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u} Recall_u(K)$$

per user

$$Recall_u(K) = \frac{1}{|R_u|} \sum_{i=1}^K rel_{u,i}$$

Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain @ K

 $nDCG(K) = \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{u} nDCG_u(K)$

per user

$$nDCG_u(K) = \frac{DCG_u(K)}{iDCG_u(K)} \quad \text{where} \quad DCG_u(K) = rel_{u,1} + \sum_{i=2}^{K} \frac{rel_{u,i}}{\log_2(i)}$$

Item	Rank for a user	Relevance to the user
item1	0	0
item2	1	1
	•••	0
		1
		0
		0
		1
item K-1	K-2	0
item K	K-1	1

Relevance (rel_{u,i})?

Binary or real



Preliminary results

Datasets

Nomao:

France, mostly Paris 7605 location 9471 users 97453 known ratings



Yelp:

U.S.A 45981 users 11537 locations 227906 known ratings





"Rating effect"

For a given user the neighbors of "average" rated places are more-likely visited as the neighbors of "extremely" rated places



Refine recommendation: regularization or re-ranking Location adaptive expansion via the ratings of the visited places



"Rating effect" on Yelp

















M1a: Expansion with the original ratings (nDCG@100)





M1a: Expansion with the original ratings (Recall@100)



MTA SZTAKI



M1a: Weighted expansion per rating (nDCG@100 and Recall rate) Note: we lower the test predicitions if the original rating was "low"





M1a: Constant expansion per rating (nDCG@100)



c=1

c=5

nomad





M1ar: Rating dependent constant expansion per rating (nDCG@100)



M1ar1: c=1 if r=1,2,3 and c=5 if r=4,5 M1ar2: c=1 if r=1,5 and c=5 if r=2,3,4



M1b: Expansion the list of visited locations with neighbors (nDCG@100)





nomac[®]

M1b: Expansion the list of visited locations with neighbors (Recall@100)



MTA SZTAKI

M2b: Distance adaptive expansion of visited locations , smoothed (nDCG@100 and Recall)



nomad





M2a: Distance adaptive expansion of ratings , smoothed (nDCG@100 and Recall)





nomad

M2a: Probability of expansion





Conclusions and future work

- SGD and SVD "factors" are similar
 - factors with highest eigenvalue are mostly correlated with a particular place
- "Rating effect"
 - rating dependent distribution of visited neighbors
 - observed over Nomao and Yelp too
- In some cases expansion via neighbors of visited places could increase the performance

Next steps:

- Combination of non-factor and factor models
- We just started to use the "rating effect": probabilistic models
- MultiMF: Learn where to expand

Thank you! Questions?